

SPERM PRECEDENCE IN EXPERIMENTAL INTERSPECIFIC MULTIPLE MATINGS OF
HYBRIDIZING NORTH AMERICAN TIGER SWALLOWTAIL BUTTERFLY SPECIES (LEPIDOPTERA:
PAPILIONIDAE)

ARAM D. STUMP*

Department of Entomology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824 USA

AND

J. MARK SCRIBER

Department of Entomology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824 USA,, email: scriber@msu.edu

ABSTRACT. *Papilio canadensis* and *P. glaucus* have multiply-mating females and males. Laboratory hand-pairings allowed us to by-pass natural mating preferences of polyandrous females and conduct sequential (twice-mated) lab pairings; one heterospecific and one conspecific male for each virgin female of *P. glaucus* or *P. canadensis*. Using electrophoresis and species-specific (diagnostic) allozymes, we were able to determine whether random fertilization (a mix of heterospecific sperm) or sperm precedence (either first or last male) existed for these twice-mated females. Since the number of useful double pairings for lab and field female analyses was low, we can only conclude that of double mated females, most are fertilized by males of their first mating, but that a mix of sperm and last male sperm precedence do occur at lower frequencies.

Overall, these lab results suggest that if it exists, sperm selection by females of *P. glaucus* and *P. canadensis* would not necessarily provide a clear mechanism of reproductive isolation. In fact, eggs from interspecific primary hybrid lab crosses (73 families of $Pg \times Pc$ and 17 families of $Pc \times Pg$ hybrids; all single pairing F_1 crosses) are as fertile and viable as those from parental types. The isolating mechanisms that maintained a narrow hybrid zone from 1980-1997 have not been sustained during the recent 1998-2003 period where extensive interspecific hybrid introgression and X-chromosome recombination has occurred. It is clear that even though lab-reared males were regularly fed a solution of honey water, amino acids, and electrolytes, they were less successful at producing larval offspring that were field-captured (wild) males when mated to virgin females. This difference in reproductive success mandates caution in extrapolation from lab to field studies. However lab-reared males can show first male or last male sperm precedence. It is also possible for a female to have a mixture of fertilizations from males of different species. We are therefore hesitant to interpret these preliminary results with relatively small sample sizes in the context of sexual selection theory. These results do complicate the interpretation of hybrid zone dynamics.

Additional key words: Conspecific sperm, *Papilio glaucus*, *P. canadensis*, polyandry, hybrid zone spermatophore..

INTRODUCTION

Females of many animal species mate multiple times within their fertile lifetime (Smith 1984; Birkhead and Møller 1998). There is evidence that these multiple matings may extend female life-span (Wagner *et al.* 2001), increase egg production (Wiklund *et al.* 1993; Boggs 1997a, 1997b, Karlsson 1998, Eady *et al.* 2000), and increase offspring viability (Lederhouse and Scriber 1987; Tregenza and Wedell 2002). It may also increase offspring weight/size (Sakaluk *et al.* 2002) or offspring reproductive success (Bernasconi and Keller 2001; Pai and Yan 2002). However, where males are of different species, egg production can be drastically reduced (Shapiro 2000) or post-zygotic hybrid offspring survival may be poor (Orr and Turelli 2001; Marshall *et al.* 2002). Mixtures of species diagnostic traits from a single mother could result from fertilization by both heterospecific and conspecific males in a hybrid zone. Clarification of sperm precedence for naturally hybridizing species is needed.

Sperm are stored for use in fertilization, sometimes

for the entire life of the insect, in female organs called spermathecae (Cook and Wedell 1996; Gage 1998). Some species with different types of sperm storage organs (Pitnick *et al.* 1999) can separately store sperm from different males, as in the yellow dung fly (Ward 1998; Hellriegel and Bernasconi 2000). This creates the possibility that sperm from two (or more) different males are in competition for egg fertilizations, extending sexual selection beyond copulation. Packets of sperm (spermatophores) are transferred to polyandrous females of the North American tiger swallowtail butterfly species group, raising questions of sperm precedence and sperm competition from different mates.

Females of *Papilio glaucus* L. and *P. canadensis* R & J, often mate four to five times during their relatively short lifetime of three to six weeks (Lederhouse *et al.* 1989; Lederhouse 1995; Scriber *et al.* 1998a) and males can mate at least four times (Lederhouse 1995). We have shown that fertility declines in *P. glaucus* females after seven to eight days and that a second mating may restore it (Lederhouse and Scriber 1987; see also Watanabe 1988). This may be the result of sperm depletion or other factors.

*Current Address: Department of Biological Sciences
, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556

As in many Lepidoptera, these two species of *Papilio* usually package sperm in a spermatophore, which is energetically costly (Mann 1984; Watanabe and Hirota 1999). Sperm are often dependent upon the female's muscles to move them out of the spermatophore and transfer them to the spermatheca (Tschudi-Rein and Benz 1990). While the pattern of paternity in butterflies and moths is variable, it is believed that sperm from one male takes precedence. However, it might be an earlier mate instead of the most recent one that sires most or all of the offspring (Clarke and Sheppard 1962; Flint and Kressing 1968; Pair *et al.* 1977; Bissoondath and Wiklund 1997; Cook *et al.* 1997; Wedell and Cook 1998; LaMunyon 1999). The importance of which male's sperm sires offspring may be of even greater ecological significance when males are from different species, as can occur in hybrid zones of parapatric species.

Multiple-mating females and males of tiger swallowtail butterflies may encounter heterospecific mates as well as their conspecifics in the vicinity of hybrid zones such as the one across the Great Lakes region (generally at latitudes of 40–43°N) from Minnesota through Wisconsin, Michigan, New York State, and New England (Scriber 1996; Scriber *et al.* 2003). We have documented such parapatric range overlap and cases of natural hybridization of the species in the field (Luebke *et al.* 1988; Scriber 1990; Deering and Scriber 1998; Scriber *et al.* 1998b; Stump 2000; Ording 2001; Donovan and Scriber 2003; Hereau and Scriber 2003). Mating preferences of both *P. glaucus* and *P. canadensis* males in the field are both very strong for tethered *P. glaucus* females in 2-choice tests using size-matched, tethered virgin females, which represents a strong and unexpected asymmetry (Deering 1998; Deering and Scriber 2002). Females were capable of free flight on the thread tethers and were able to reject some male mating attempts. However, few rejections were observed for these lab-reared virgin females. No evidence of sex pheromones has been detected in field tests with cryptically caged virgin females compared to empty control cages behind dead display females (Deering 1998).

Interspecific hybridization for *P. glaucus* and *P. canadensis* has been documented to increase extensively within and north of the historical hybrid zone during the recent warming climatic trends during 1998–2003 (Scriber 2002a; Scriber and Ording 2005). The potential of post-mating (but prezygotic) reproductive isolation had not been examined for these butterflies (e.g. differential selection of sperm by females). In particular, we wondered whether multiple-mating females of *P. glaucus* or *P. canadensis* may cryptically select sperm of conspecifics over

heterospecifics as suspected in grasshoppers (Hewitt *et al.* 1989; Bella *et al.* 1992), crickets (Gregory and Howard 1994; Howard *et al.* 1998), *Drosophila* (Price 1998), ladybird beetles (Katakura 1986b), and flour beetles (Wade *et al.* 1993). If so, strong conspecific sperm precedence could help explain why the hybrid zone has remained basically geographically distinct and ecologically stable for the past two centuries (Scriber *et al.* 1982, 1996) despite the capacity for strong flight and long range dispersal of both species (Scriber *et al.* 1998b).

We employed species-specific diagnostic allozymes to identify the paternity of larvae produced after two sequential hand pairings of individual virgin females with heterospecific and conspecific (or vice versa) males of *P. glaucus* and *P. canadensis*. We also compared males of the more distantly related *P. eurymedon*, *P. rutulus*, *P. multicaudatus*, and *P. troilus* (Hagen and Scriber 1991). The western species (*P. eurymedon*, *P. rutulus*, *P. multicaudatus*) also hybridize to various degrees (Sperling 1990, 2003; Scriber *et al.* 1995; Layberry *et al.* 1998; Guppy and Shepard 2001). Different benefits of multiple matings (accessory gland substances promoting increased egg production, ejaculates with nutritional benefits; Arnqvist and Nilsson 2000) may result from repeated mating with the same male versus different males (where indirect genetic benefits may also occur; Jennions and Petrie 2000; Zeh and Zeh 2001). The importance of comparing laboratory versus natural polyandrous matings (where females choose their mates and thus influence both the direct and indirect benefits; see Tregenza *et al.* 2003) has recently been emphasized for crickets (Sakaluk *et al.* 2002). Therefore, in addition to lab pairings with virgin females, wild allopatric females of *canadensis* and *glaucus* were captured and subsequently remated to heterospecific males after first collecting her field-fertilized (presumably conspecific) eggs for 1–3 days. Directional postcopulatory sexual selection (involving both sperm competition, where sperm from different males compete to fertilize eggs, and cryptic female choice, where females bias sperm use toward particular males) has been revealed by using artificial insemination in guppies to bypass the complications with natural mating preferences and mating order of polyandrous females (Evans *et al.* 2003). We have functionally accomplished the same thing with laboratory hand-pairing techniques for *Papilio*.

All female butterflies of the *P. glaucus* group are presumably polyandrous and store sperm in spermathecae. We hoped to determine whether sperm from either first or last males have precedence, whether the female may exert cryptic sexual selection of

conspecific sperm for fertilizing her eggs, or if a mixture of sperm from both species (with potential competition) was involved. In addition to being the first such study in these species, the implications of the results for hybrid zone dynamics and the interpretation of the genetics of offspring from wild-caught females could also be significant. From a single mother of hybrid zone origins, a mixture of odd segregating traits in offspring might be explained by multiple matings with males of different species (Clarke and Sheppard 1962).

METHODS

Pairings. All double pairings were conducted during the summers of 1996, 1997, and 1998 (Tables 1–4). Both wild-caught and lab-reared male and female butterflies were used for pairings. Females and males of *P. glaucus* (G) and *P. canadensis* (C) and males of *P. rutulus* (R), *P. eurymedon* (E), *P. multicaudatus* (M) were used. Lab-reared, newly eclosed, virgin females were hand-paired to males and allowed to oviposit in multichoice plastic arenas lined with host plant foliage as previously described (Scriber 1993). These were remated (after two to six days and having laid at least 20 eggs), again by hand pairing, to a male of a species different from that of the first male. Females were then allowed to oviposit again. Additionally, wild caught females were allowed to oviposit in plastic boxes, then remated after one to five days by hand-pairing to a male of a different species, and allowed to oviposit again. Only females that were actively laying eggs were remated. The duration of pairings was recorded, as transfer of spermatophores takes at least 30 minutes.

For the *P. glaucus* species group, larvae from eggs laid both before and after rematings were collected and reared on Wild Black Cherry (*Prunus serotina* Ehrh.), a common host of both *P. glaucus* and *P. canadensis*. Larvae of *P. troilus* were reared on *Sassafras albidum* Nutt. or *Lindera benzoin* (L.) Blume (Lauraceae). After reaching approximately the third instar, larvae were frozen at -80°C. Adults were frozen before or immediately after death. Females were later dissected to determine how many spermatophores were present at death. We include females that after dissection only had a single spermatophore (Tables 3 & 4), because we wanted to determine which of the two males passed it. However, we deleted these pairings in our summary of “last” (P2 = 1) or “first” (P2 = 0) sperm precedence. We are also aware that sperm transfer without leaving recognizable spermatophores can rarely occur in Lepidoptera (Cordero 1999). Analyses of egg fertility and viability of a set of single lab-paired females from 1996–1999 with no spermatophores (n=45) were compared to those with one present (n=274).

Female butterflies were fed a 20% honey solution and males were fed a 20% honey solution supplemented with amino acids and salts following Lederhouse *et al.* (1990). Lab-reared males were not paired until at least two days following adult eclosion. For comparison, various other single male pairings among these species were conducted (1996–1999). Comparisons of egg and larval offspring production from field-captured females for various species were also included from years 1983–2002. A separate group of primary (F₁) hybrid crosses between *P. canadensis* and *P. glaucus* from 1995–2002 were compared to the reproductive fitness of wild females of both species (Table 8) to provide a comparison of fecundity and viability.

Allozyme Electrophoresis. Electrophoresis was carried out on thin-layer cellulose acetate plates (Titan III, Helena Laboratories, Beaumont, TX), using methods of Hagen and Scriber (1991). Small larvae were homogenized whole in buffer while the head and thoraxes of larger larvae were homogenized in buffer. The distal half of the abdomen of adult males and the proximal half of the abdomen of adult females was used since the distal part of the female abdomen included male spermatophores. The enzyme 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (*Pgd*) was used to determine differences between the species of the *P. glaucus* species group since all 5 species are fixed for different diagnostic alleles (Hagen and Scriber 1991). Other enzymes with diagnostic differences between species were not used because those alleles were too faintly stained in larvae. Staining of *Pgd* was fainter for larvae than adults, but it was clear and interpretable. In other Lepidoptera, fixed allozyme differences have also been used to identify egg, larval, pupal, and adult species (Woods *et al.* 2001). Paternity of offspring produced after remating of our *Papilio* was established by determining alleles of *Pgd* allozymes of larvae produced after remating. In several cases, the males were lost (or accidentally not frozen soon enough) and could not be evaluated. Precedence for each female was expressed as P2, the proportion of larvae produced after the remating that were sired by the female's second mate.

RESULTS

Lab and wild pairings. Out of 82 interspecific double pairings for lab-reared females, only 32 produced larvae both before and after being remated (Table 1). The others either laid no eggs after being remated, laid no hatching eggs (all being either infertile or fertile and non-viable) after remating, or had laid no hatching eggs before being remated. Of the 32 females producing larvae both before and after being remated, five had been mated to males that shared allozymes.

Some interspecific introgression (or ancestral low-level polymorphisms, or residuals from earlier north/south Pleistocene hybrid zone movement) is found at the *Pgd* locus (Hagen and Scriber 1991; Scriber 1996; Scriber *et al.* 1998b) making the determination of paternity for offspring impossible in these cases, leaving 27 broods where P2 was determined. Of these females, 6 had only a single spermatophore recovered, and 3 others produced fewer than 5 larvae, leaving only 18 for P2 analyses. Since the sample sizes were so small, we did not conduct t-tests.

In addition to the sequential pairings of various lab-reared virgin females (Table 1), wild females were also set up in oviposition arenas and later remated to heterospecific males (Table 2). Out of 27 wild females, 20 produced larvae both before and after being remated. All of the remated wild females produced larvae before being mated. Only one female that had produced larvae after being remated had a P2 that was not possible to be determined electrophoretically, leaving 19 broods where P2 was determined (Table 2). Of these 19 females, 5 had only one (or no) spermatophores and another 7 had fewer than 5 larvae, leaving 7 for P2 allozyme analyses. A shared host plant (*Prunus serotina*) was used to minimize any differential mortality of larvae before the third instar samples for allozyme analysis, but this delay from egg hatch to the third instar, when allozyme samples were made, nonetheless may have introduced an unknown degree of selection bias due to differential mortality among genotypes. Larvae had allozymes corresponding to their parents in all of the cases where allozymes of parents (a once-mated female and her mate) were compared to larval offspring allozymes. We used *Pgd*, which is X-linked in *P. glaucus* and *P. canadensis*. Male homozygotes of *Pgd* could not be distinguished from female hemizygotes in larvae since the sex was not ascertained. The sample numbers are not high enough to be able to estimate accurately the actual population allele frequencies, but there is no reason to suspect non-Mendelian inheritance.

Of the 18 double-paired interspecific lab crosses examined, 11 showed first male sperm precedence ($P_2 = 0$), 4 showed mixed parentage ($P_2 = 0.20 - 0.93$), and 3 showed last male sperm precedence ($P_2 = 1$). Only one of these three last male fertilizations was heterospecific in paternity (Table 3). Of the wild females remated to different species in the lab, 3 showed mixed parentage (from both males; $P_2 = 0.07 - 0.14$) and the other 4 all showed first male sperm precedence ($P_2 = 0$; Table 4). The number of useful double male pairings was insufficient to evaluate whether female selection of conspecific sperm was

possible or not.

Durations of second pairings were recorded. No second pairings lasting less than 30 minutes resulted in sperm replacement. This is not surprising based on time needed for ejaculate transfer in other Lepidoptera (Watanabe and Sato 1993). However, most second pairings lasted for longer than 30 minutes and most females were found to be carrying two spermatophores (or more for wild caught females).

Singly-mated females illustrate the need for a minimum of 30 minutes of copulation duration for successful fertilization (Table 5). A surprisingly high number of females laid eggs even when no spermatophore was present (0.62, $n = 45$) compared to those with a spermatophore (0.75, $n = 274$, Chi-square 3.32, n.s.). However, only a very small portion of matings with no spermatophores detected produced larvae (0.08, $n = 24$) compared to those with a spermatophore (0.57, $n = 189$, Chi-square 19.87, $p < 0.001$).

Even with regular feedings of males with a honey water/electrolyte "elixir" (as in Lederhouse *et al.* 1990), it is evident that lab-reared males are less successful at producing broods with larvae than are wild field-collected males (Table 6). An index of reproductive success also shows that primary F_1 interspecific hybrids between *P. glaucus* and *P. canadensis* parents ($P_c \times P_g$ and $P_g \times P_c$) have offspring success that is intermediate compared to the parental types (Table 6).

Average hatchabilities of eggs (# larvae/total. eggs) from field-captured females of these 5 species (ranging from 0.43 to 0.70; Table 7) were comparable to our lab-reared virgin females in these experiments (0.25 to 0.72; Table 6). The proportions of females that oviposited ranged from 33% to 84% among the 7 *Papilio* species. The percentage producing larvae ranged from only 16% to 52% (Table 7). In a separate study of singly-mated females that did produce larvae (from 1995-2002), it was clear that the heterospecific hybrids between *P. glaucus* and *P. canadensis* were at no obvious selective disadvantage compared to the parental types; with mean egg viability of 68.0% ($P_c \times P_g$) and 62.3% ($P_g \times P_c$) compared with 58.9% for *P. canadensis* and 65.3% for *P. glaucus* (Table 8).

DISCUSSION

Sperm precedence. Second male precedence has been considered to be the rule in Lepidoptera (Drummond 1984), but our results showed 3 of 25 successful double interspecific matings complete second male sperm precedence ($P_2 = 1$) in these tiger swallowtail butterflies (Tables 3 & 4). Two additional twice-mated females showed a large proportion of

TABLE 1. Types and number of double-paired *Papilio* females and reproductive success following remating. P2 is calculated as the proportion of larvae produced after a second mating that were sired by the female's second mate.

Double-pairing type (female \times male 1 \times male 2)	double-paired females	laying eggs after remating	producing larvae after remating	producing larvae before and after remating	producing larvae before and after remating where P2 could be determined
(C \times C \times G)	18	17	8	6	5
(C \times G \times C)	13	12	6	5	5
(G \times G \times C)	17	13	9	6	6
(G \times C \times G)	20	18	13	8	5
(C \times C \times E)	7	5	2	2	2
(C \times E \times C)	4	4	2	2	2
(G \times G \times M)	1	1	1	1	1
(G \times M \times G)	1	1	1	1	0
(G \times R \times G)	1	1	1	1	1
Total	82	72	43	32	27
		72/82 = 87.8	43/72 = 59.7	32/43 = 44.4	27/32 = 84.4 %
C = <i>canadensis</i> , G = <i>glaucus</i> , M = <i>multicaudatus</i> , R = <i>rutulus</i> , E = <i>eurymedon</i>					

TABLE 2. Types and number of remated wild-caught *Papilio* females and reproductive success following remating.

Double-pairing type (female wild \times male)	Number remated wild-caught	Laying eggs after remating	Producing larvae after remating	Producing larvae before and after remating	Producing larvae before and after remating where P ₂ could be determined
<i>P. canadensis</i> wild \times <i>P. glaucus</i> (C wild \times G)	8	7	6	6	6
(G wild \times C)	2	2	2	2	2
(G wild \times E)	7	6	6	6	5
(G wild \times M)	4	3	3	3	3
(G wild \times R)	6	3	3	3	3
Total	27	21	20	20	19
		21/27 = 77.8	20/21 = 95.2	20/20 = 100	19/20 = 95%
E, M, R = <i>eurymedon</i> , <i>multicaudatus</i> , & <i>rutulus</i> , respectively					

mixed sire offspring ($P_2 = 0.82$ and 0.93) and 5 others showed less extensive mixing ($P_2 = 0.07-0.36$). This pattern appears to be in contrast to the last mate sperm precedence believed to be typical of insects in general (Smith 1984) and especially Lepidoptera. All but one of the 20 Lepidoptera species studied have mean P_2 values greater than 0.47 and most are greater than 0.60 (see Table 2.3 in Simmons 2001); although it is reported that distributions are bimodal with 0 or 1 values for most species. Lepidoptera having bimodal distributions create intermediate mean values of P_2 , and that is one reason why P_2 analyses are not very useful in understanding mechanisms of sperm competition

(Simmons and Siva-Jothy 2001). Last male sperm precedence occurred (at least partially) in only 10 of 25 double matings for which we could analyze diagnostic allozymes. However, offspring sired by the last male mate occurred in both *P. canadensis* and *P. glaucus* females even when this male was heterospecific (*canadensis*, *glaucus*, and *eurymedon*; Tables 3 & 4). In addition to these inconclusive results, the last male sperm precedence patterns in other insects is also known to break down when a third male is involved (Zeh and Zeh 1994) as is the case with many older *P. glaucus* and *P. canadensis* females (Lederhouse and Scriber 1987; Lederhouse *et al.* 1989; Lederhouse

TABLE 3. Last male sperm precedence, P2, the proportion of offspring that were sired by the second male, for double-paired lab-reared females. Also indicated are the number of larvae produced after remating that had paternity determined by electrophoresis (N), origin of the male used for remating, the days between pairings, the duration of the remating, and the number of spermatophores present in the female at death.

Type (female × male × male 2)	Male origin	Days between pairings	Duration of remating (minutes)	Spermatophores present	P2	N
C × C × G	lab	3	65	2	1	15
	lab	3	100	2	0	27
	lab	2	26	1	0	61
	lab	3	60	2	0.2	5
C × G × C	lab	3	59	2	0	7
	lab	3	35	1	0	22
	lab	2	>43	2	0	12
	wild	4	57	2	1	21
G × G × C	lab	5	93	1	0	16
	lab	2	>36	2	0	21
	lab	2	>38	2	0	23
	lab	3	62	2	0.36	11
	lab	3	63	2	0	26
	lab	3	106	1	0	14
G × C × G	lab	3	>30	2	0.82	11
	lab	4	85	2	0	72
	wild	2	87	2	0	26
	wild	4	>30	2	0	23
C × C × E	wild	4	>41	2	0	21
	wild	2	73	2	0.93	14
C × E × C	wild	2	>85	1	0	21
	wild	2	>91	2	1	5
G × R × G	wild	1	99	2	0	19

1995).

The relatively high success of first matings compared to the second matings in our studies is due to unknown factors. One possibility is simply that we did not wait long enough after the first pairing for natural decline in fertility or sperm volume before the second mating was made. For example, Lederhouse and Scriber (1987) have shown that fertility of these species declines over the first week after mating, but that the decline is most

rapid after 6–10 days for *P. glaucus* and after 4–7 days for *P. canadensis*. Females in the field may normally reject second males for a longer period of their life than was the case for our experimentally forced laboratory hand pairings. It is also possible that the second male's sperm may have had insufficient time to reach the spermatheca of females in 2–3 days. Another area that deserves additional experimentation would be the assessment of whether males prevented from their

TABLE 4. Sperm precedence P2 for remated wild-caught females. Also indicated are the number of larvae produced after remating that had paternity determined by electrophoresis (N), origin of the male used for remating, the days between collection of the female and remating, the duration of the remating, and the number of spermatophores present in the female at death.

Type(female wild × male)	Male origin	Days until remating	Duration of remating (minutes)	Spermatophores present	P2	N
C wild × G	wild	3	43	2	0	7
	wild	4	33		0	14
	wild	3	60	3	0.14	7
	wild	3	72	3	0	5
G wild × C	lab	2	42	1	0	20
	lab	2	49	2	0	20
G wild × E	wild	1	>40	4	0.07	14
	wild	1	54	2	0.12	8
	wild	4	64	1	0	22
	wild	4	>75	2	0	21
G wild × R	wild	1	27	1	0	11

natural choice of female mates (in hand-paired cases) would withhold or reduce the amount of sperm transferred in laboratory pairings compared to natural matings. Few studies exist of sperm utilization patterns in nature (Cobs 1977; Allen *et al.* 1994; LaMunyon 1994). However, recent research shows that male ejaculate expenditures are dynamic and that males assess the mating status and relative fecundity of females and subsequently modulate the quantity or quality of ejaculate they pass to females (Wedell *et al.* 2002). This also exists as a possible explanation of our poor second mating success.

The roles of apyrene (lacking a nucleus) and eupyrene (with nucleus) sperm in competition (Cook and Wedell 1996, 1999; Watanabe *et al.* 2000) are completely unknown for these two *Papilio* species, and knowing these might help clarify results such as ours. The degree of polygamy and polyandry will vary with age, extent of protandry, the size of spermatophores, as well as species characteristics, all of which makes understanding sperm precedence a complex venture with slowed progress (Kempanaers *et al.* 2000; Pitnick and Brown 2000; Wiklund 2003).

The females that continued to produce fertile eggs and larvae sired by an earlier male (70% of those shown in Tables 3 and 4) also did so even when this original mate was a heterospecific male (followed in mating sequence by a conspecific male). This further suggests that these *Papilio* females may not be exerting active or

passive conspecific sperm selection (Birkhead 2000). It has been suggested that genetic benefits from mate choice may be context-specific (Qvarnström 2001). In any case, it is feasible that sperm competition could override any cryptic female selection should it be found to occur.

The failure of many second matings to sire offspring in these interspecific pairing sequences is likely due to some of the same problems encountered in first pairings (Stump 2000). For example, as with first pairings, it appears that second pairings lasting at least 30 minutes are required for sperm replacement (Table 3). We found in *Papilio* single mating studies (Table 6) that only about 25-45% of hand pairings gave rise to some larvae (24.0% *canadensis* × *canadensis*, n=75; 32.4% *glaucus* × *glaucus*, n=33; 42.1% of *glaucus* × *canadensis* hybrids, n=38; and 44.0% of *canadensis* × *glaucus* hybrids, n=50; Stump 2000). Previous studies showed that in 1986 only 19% of 75 pairings produced some larvae (14 fertile females averaging 27 larvae from 73 eggs) After feeding males an elixir solution of amino acids, electrolytes, and sugar our results improved to 90% of 20 females producing some larvae (18 fertile females averaging 44 larvae from 105 eggs; Lederhouse *et al.* 1990).

We do not know the explanation for seemingly low percentages of mating success, but it is reasonable to assume that similar problems may explain at least a portion of the low second mating “replacement” success (70% of females continued to use earlier sperm). Wild

TABLE 5. Success of single mate pairings as indicated by those with viable larvae, grouped by mating duration. The pairings¹ were of various types (conspecific, heterospecific, backcross, and F₂), involved various *Papilio* species (*P. canadensis*, *P. glaucus*, *P. eurymedon*, *P. rutulus*, *P. multicaudatus*, and *P. troilus*), and were between lab-reared virgin females and either lab-reared or wild-caught males. Sample sizes for each duration/category are indicated in parentheses. Not all females were dissected and examined for a spermatophore.

Duration (minutes)	Proportion of pairings with a spermatophore ²		Proportion of all pairings producing eggs		Proportion of pairings with larvae (of those with eggs)	
<30	0.0	0 / 10	61.1	11 / 18	0.0	0 / 11
30-90	94.6	210 / 222	75.3	223 / 296	59.2	132 / 223
>90	76.7	23 / 30	78.7	37 / 47	56.8	21 / 37

¹all 1996-1999 laboratory hand-pairings of various *Papilio* populations or species were included.

²Some females were not examined for spermatophores

females of the 7 species also had low proportions successfully ovipositing and producing larvae (Table 7). Rather than sperm competition, our experimental procedures (second matings after only 2–6 days) may be a partial explanation for our generally low second male fertilization success. Waiting 7–8 days might better reflect the natural remating behavior and physiological needs of females. Remating interval and spermatophore size can also affect the P2 values and number of matings for Lepidoptera (Drummond 1984; Lederhouse *et al.* 1989; Simmons and Siva-Jothy 2001).

First or last male sperm precedence may occur and be operational in different ways for multiple matings and be limited to only conspecific males (or only heterospecific males). In other species, viability of offspring has been shown to increase with additional conspecific male mates by avoiding the costs of inbreeding (Tregenza and Wedell 2002) or by gaining other genetic benefits (Yasai 1998; Newcomer *et al.* 1999; Jennions and Petrie 2000; Zeh and Zeh 2001;

Sakaluk *et al.* 2002). Our experiments involved only combinations that used male mates from two different species. No double conspecific matings were made in this study. With hand-pairings, females were unable to make natural mate choices seen for females with free choice either in the lab or in the field (which can also vary; Sadek 2001).

Males of various insect species may attempt to guard their mates from competing males (Birkhead and Parker 1997) or to secrete mating plugs to block competitors access to the female's reproductive tract (Thornhill and Alcock 1983; Orr 1995). In addition, males may attempt to gain an advantage over potentially competing sperm by a number of mechanisms. First, there may be removal of previous male sperm (Lefevre and Jonsson 1962; Waage 1979; Ono *et al.* 1989; Orr 1995; Price *et al.* 2001). Secondly, hindering or rendering rival sperm non-functional with seminal secretions may occur (Katakura 1986a; Katakura and Sobu 1986; Harshman and Prout 1994; Clarke *et al.*

TABLE 6. Index of mating success for single mate pairs (1996-1999). For each pairing type, this is calculated as the proportion of pairings producing hatching larvae multiplied by the average hatchability of broods producing larvae. Female listed first in each pairing type.

Pairing Type (Female × Male) origin	(n)	Of pairings lasting at least 30 minutes, proportion producing larvae	Average egg hatchability of pairings producing larvae	Index of mating success
C × C wild	(17)	0.45	0.49 ± 0.06	0.22
C × C lab	(7)	0.11	0.40 ± 0.12	0.04
G × G wild	(18)	0.78	0.72 ± 0.13	0.43
G × G lab	(18)	0.42	0.63 ± 0.07	0.26
C × G wild	(22)	0.52	0.61 ± 0.06	0.32
C × G lab	(10)	0.33	0.47 ± 0.10	0.16
G × C wild	(37)	0.59	0.66 ± 0.04	0.39
G × C lab	(4)	0.20	0.28 ± 0.09	0.06
T × G wild	(6)	0.67	0.25 ± 0.03	0.17
(C × G) × C wild	(17)	0.52	0.37 ± 0.06	0.19

(C × G) × C are backcross broods using hybrid females and wild *canadensis* males.

T = *P. troilus*

TABLE 7. Pooled total laboratory lifetime egg and larval production of wild field-collected *Papilio* females of the tiger swallowtail (*glaucus*) species group.

Species	Female Families			Population traits		
	Total (n)	with Eggs	with Larvae	Total eggs	total larvae	hatchability (l/e)
<i>canadensis</i>	730	353 (48%)	230 (32%)	13205	6311	.478
<i>glaucus</i>	959	524 (55%)	369 (38%)	31806	17341	.545
<i>rutulus</i>	65	43 (66%)	33 (51%)	1479	795	.538
<i>eurymedon</i>	33	11 (33%)	5 (16%)	297	172	.579
<i>multicaudatus</i>	25	21 (84%)	13 (52%)	599	259	.431
<i>alexiaries</i>	31	19 (61%)	12 (38%)	919	475	.517
<i>troilus</i>	88	55 (63%)	35 (39%)	4417	3083	.698

P. canadensis and *P. glaucus* (and *P. alexiaries*) data are from 1983-1986 only (others all include 1991-2002 individuals as well as 1983-1986).

1995; Rice 1996; Price *et al.* 1999, 2000). Thirdly, diluting or delaying competing sperm with sperm of higher volumes may be used (Sugawara 1979; Dickinson 1986; Gage and Baker 1991; Karlsson 1995; Gage and Barnard 1996; Simmons and Siva-Jothy 1998). Fourthly, transferring sperm or spermatophores of higher quality or effectiveness may give an advantage (Bertran *et al.* 1996; Smedley and Eisner 1996; Vahed 1998; LaMunyon and Ward 1999; Gilchrist and Partridge 2000; Stjernholm and Karlsson 2000; Price *et al.* 2001; Bergstrom and Wiklund 2002). Finally, there may be production of high volumes of non-nucleated apyrene sperm, which may prevent fertilization by eupyrene sperm of former males (Silberglied *et al.* 1984; Watanabe *et al.* 2000). However, since some females may potentially manipulate sperm storage and use (Birkhead *et al.* 1993; Sakaluk and Eggert 1996; Siva-Jothy and Hooper 1996; Otronen 1997; Wilson *et al.* 1997; Neubaum and Wolfner 1999; Olsson *et al.* 1999) to give certain types of sperm a potential competitive advantage (e.g. to conspecific males over heterospecific males) (Robinson *et al.* 1994; Albuquerque *et al.* 1996; Eberhardt 1996; Howard 1999), the particular mechanisms determining which sperm wins is difficult to discern. It may relate to the nature of seminal fluids (Price 1997; Simmons 2001) or of the deposition patterns in all female storage components (Ward 1993). Also, both male and female processes may interact to produce sperm displacement or to affect the outcome of sperm competition (Clark *et al.* 1999; Simmons *et al.* 1999; Eberhardt 2000; Ward 2000; Simmons 2001). However, males attempting to increase their own fertilization success can result in a decrease of female fitness, producing sexual conflict (Stockley 1997; Simmons 2001; Chapman *et al.* 2003; Pizzari and Snook 2003). At a local population level, such male-female

conflict within a species can lead to the rapid evolution of reproductive isolation (Tregenza 2003; Martin & Hosken 2003). The role such sexual selection may have in the reproductive isolation of hybridizing species such as these *Papilio* is currently unknown but may be extensive. Our study is only preliminary, and was conducted to assess the physiological possibilities of differential sperm use by multiply mated females and to see if consistent patterns of sperm precedence occurs. In these lab studies, we were unable to test sexual selection theory.

CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that, under our sequential double mating regime, females of *P. glaucus* and *P. canadensis* generally produce larvae fertilized by the first rather than second male. Sperm mixing (with eggs fertilized by both species) and last male sperm precedence do occur at lower frequencies than first male sperm precedence. However, we had insufficient numbers of useful two-species matings of our lab and wild females to evaluate any potential conspecific cryptic sexual selection of the sperm types by females. Since it is so difficult to distinguish selection from sperm competition, it remains controversial (Simmons and Siva-Jothy 2001) whether females really have some influence or selection-capability in cases with conspecific sperm precedence (Howard 1999). However, the poorly understood role of sperm from different species in reproductive isolation in *Papilio* or other hybrid zones for polyandrous females may be significant (Arnold 1997; Endler 1998; Turelli *et al.* 2001; Howard *et al.* 2002; Via 2002; Andolfatto *et al.* 2003). It was evident in these studies that lab-reared males (even when fed an amino acid, salt, sugar elixir solution; as in Lederhouse *et al.* 1990) were still inferior to field-collected "wild"

TABLE 8. Egg viability for wild *Papilio glaucus*, wild *P. canadensis*, their reciprocal hybrids (females lab reared; males wild). Data represent the mean (\pm se) of all individual females (1995–2002).

	(# of females)	Total larvae	Total eggs	Egg Viability
<i>P. glaucus</i>	(246)	77.3 (5.1)	110.5 (5.7)	65.3 (1.6)%
<i>P. canadensis</i>	(305)	31.7 (2.3)	53.5 (2.9)	58.9 (1.7)%
Primary Hybrids				
Pg \times Pc	(73)	108.5 (11.1)	167.1 (15.1)	62.3 (3.6)%
Pc \times Pg	(17)	55.2 (13.0)	77.1 (16.6)	68.0 (6.2)%
Backcrosses (1997–2002);				
PgPc \times Pc	(3)	118.0 (37.3)	148.3 (42.9)	78.5 (3.3) %
PcPg \times Pg	(6)	18.6 (9.9)	33.2 (10.8)	44.9 (12.2) %
PcPg \times Pc	(20)	33.2 (7.0)	80.1 (10.9)	39.9 (5.6) %
Pg \times PgPc	(1)	278	288	96.5 %
Pg \times PcPg	(1)	17	143	12.0 %
F ₂ Hybrids				
PcPg \times PcPg	(2)	24.5	80.1	16.9 %
PgPc \times PgPc	(1)	12	63	19.1 %

Papilio glaucus from populations in various states of the USA (FL, GA, OH, MD, VA, MO, MI)

P. canadensis from various populations in Canada and the USA (AK, WI, MI, NY, VT)

T-tests between *P. glaucus* vs. *P. canadensis* were all significant ($p < 0.01$) for total larvae, total. eggs, and egg viability. For hybrids (Pg \times Pc vs. Pc \times Pg) viabilities were not significantly different, but total eggs and total larvae were ($p < 0.01$). Mean egg viability of Backcross type PcPg \times Pc (39.9%, $n=20$ families) was significantly lower than both parental types and both primary hybrids (all $p < 0.001$). Another two backcross types ($n=6$; and $n=20$ families) were not significantly different than parentals and primary hybrids for egg viability (genotypes with only 1 or 2 families were not compared).

males with respect to reproductive potential in hand-pairings for these *Papilio*. Differential larval food quality and natural puddling behavior of adult males (Pivnick and McNeil 1987; Boggs 1997a, 1997b) can affect male physiological virility and mating success and may largely account for the higher reproductive success of wild males than lab-reared males in our study.

Finally, the extent of hybrid vigor as seen in our F₁ hand-paired lab crosses (see also Donovan 2001; Scriber *et al.* 2003) may not occur in the natural field situation where hybrid males (Davies 1997) or natural enemies might differentially affect the fitness of hybrids (e.g. Mallet and Barton 1989) or backcrosses (Hagen and Scriber 1995; Dasmahaptra *et al.* 2002; Presgraves 2002). Recent examples of likely recombinant hybrid speciation in insects (Schwarz *et al.* 2005; Scriber and Ording 2005; see also Rieseberg *et al.* 2001) depend on introgressive hybridization. However, the role of sperm precedence in the recently apparent ineffectiveness of prezygotic barriers between *P. glaucus* and *P. canadensis* (Deering and Scriber 2002; Scriber 2002a; Donovan and Scriber 2003; Scriber *et al.* 2006) was not resolved by this study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported in part by the Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station (Project MICLO1644), NSF grants (DEB9220122 and DEB9981608) and the Ray and Bernice Hutson Endowment of the Department of Entomology. We thank Jessica Deering, Mark Deering, Jennifer Donovan, Cheryl Frankfater, Keegan Keefover, Rodrigo Mercader, Jenny Muehlhaus, Michelle Oberlin, Gabe Ording, and Sara Sanders for assistance in the field and lab. Harry Pavulaan and David Wright were very generous in sending *P. appalachiensis* individuals from West Virginia and Pennsylvania mountains for morphological and electrophoretic comparisons. We are particularly grateful to reviewer #1, who provided extensive and particularly insightful and helpful comments.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALBUQUERQUE, G. S., C. A. TAUBER & M. J. TAUBER. 1996. Post-mating reproductive isolation between *Chrysopa quadripunctata* and *Chrysopa slonnae*: mechanisms and geographic variation. *Evolution* 50:1598–1606.
- ALLEN, G. R., D. J. KAZMER & R. F. LUCK. 1994. Post-copulatory male behavior, sperm precedence and multiple mating in a solitary parasitoid wasp. *Anim. Behav.* 48: 635–644.
- ANDOLFATTO, P., J. M. SCRIBER & B. CHARLESWORTH. 2003. No association between mitochondrial DNA haplotypes and a female-limited mimicry phenotype in *Papilio glaucus*. *Evolution* 57: 305–316.
- ARNOLD, M. L. 1997. *Natural Hybridization and Evolution*. Oxford Univ. NY.
- BELLA, J. L., R. K. BUTLIN, C. FERRIS & G. M. HEWITT. 1992. Asymmetrical homogeny and unequal sex ratio from reciprocal mating-order crosses between *Chorthippus parallelus* subspecies.

- Heredity 68:345–352.
- BERGSTROM, J. & C. WIKLUND. 2002. Effects of size and nuptial gifts on butterfly reproduction: can females compensate for a smaller size through male-derived nutrients? *Behav. Ecol. & Sociobiol.* 52: 296–302.
- BERNASCONI, G. & L. KELLER. 2001. Female polyandry affects their sons' reproductive success in the red flour beetle *Tribolium castaneum*. *J. Evol. Biol.* 14:186–193.
- BERTAM, M. J., D. M. NEUBAUM, & M. F. WOLFNER. 1996. Localization of the *Drosophila* male accessory gland protein ACP36DE in mated female suggests a role in sperm storage. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Bio.* 261:971–980.
- BIRKHEAD, T. R. 1998. Cryptic female choice: criteria for establishing female sperm choice. *Evolution* 52:1212–1218.
- . 2000. Defining and demonstrating postcopulatory female choice again. *Evolution* 54:1057–1060.
- BIRKHEAD, T. R. & G. A. PARKER. 1997. Sperm competition and mating systems, pp. 121–145. *In* Krebs, J. R., N. B. Davies (eds.) *Behavioral Ecology*. Oxford, Blackwell Scientific.
- BIRKHEAD, T. R., A. P. MOLLER & W. J. S. SUTHERLAND. 1993. Why do females make it so difficult for males to fertilize their eggs? *J. Theoretical Biol.* 161:51–60.
- . 1998. *Sperm competition and sexual selection*. San Diego: Academic Press.
- BISSEONDATH, C. J. & C. WIKLUND. 1997. Effect of male body size on sperm precedence in the polyandrous butterfly, *Pieris napi* L. (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). *Behav. Ecol.* 8:518–523.
- BOGGS, C. L. 1997a. Reproductive allocation from reserves and income in butterfly species with differing adult diets. *Ecology* 78: 181–191.
- BOGGS, C. L. 1997b. Dynamics of reproductive allocation from juvenile and adult feeding: radiotracer studies. *Ecology* 78: 192–202.
- CHAPMAN, T., L. F. LIDDLE, J. M. KALB, M. F. WOLFNER, & L. PARTRIDGE. 1995. Cost of mating in *Drosophila melanogaster* females is mediated by male accessory gland products. *Nature* 373:241–244.
- CHAPMAN, T., G. ARNVIST, J. BANGHAM & L. ROWE. 2003. Sexual conflict. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 18:41–47.
- CLARK, A. G., M. AGUADÉ, T. PROUT, L. G. HARSHMAN & C. H. LANGLEY. 1995. Variation in sperm displacement and its association with accessory gland protein loci in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* 139:189–201.
- CLARK, A. G., D. J. BEGUN & T. PROUT. 1999. Female X male interactions in *Drosophila* sperm competition. *Science* 283:212–220.
- CLARK, C. A. & P. M. SHEPPARD. 1962. Offspring from double matings in the swallowtail butterflies. *Entomologist*. August:199–202.
- COBBS, G. 1977. Multiple insemination and male sexual selection in natural populations of *Drosophila pseudoobscura*. *Amer. Natur.* 111: 641–656.
- COOK, P. A. & N. WEDELL. 1996. Ejaculate dynamics in butterflies: a strategy for maximizing fertilization success. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Series B* 263:1047–1051.
- . 1999. Non-fertile sperm delay female remating. *Nature* 397:486.
- COOK, P. A., I. F. HARVEY & G. A. PARKER. 1997. Predicting variation in sperm precedence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B*. 352:771–780.
- CORDERO, C. 1999. Is spermatophore number a good measure of mating frequency in female *Callophrys xami* (Lycaenidae)? *J. Lepid. Soc.* 53:169–170.
- COYNE J. A. & H.A. ORR (2004) *Speciation*. Sinauer, Sunderland, MA, 545 pp.
- DASMAHAPATRA, K.K., M.J. BLUM, A. AIELLO, S. HACKWELL, N. DAVIES, E.P. BERMINGHAM, & J. MALLET. 2002. Inferences from a rapidly moving hybrid zone. *Evolution* 56: 741–753.
- DAVIES, N., A. AIELLO, J. MALLET, A. POMIANKOWSKI & R. E. SILBERGLIED. 1997. Speciation in two neotropical butterflies: extending Haldane's rule. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London B* 264: 845–851.
- DEERING, M. D. 1998. Preferential mate selection by males as a reproductive isolating mechanism between the swallowtail species. *Papilio glaucus* and *P. canadensis* (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). M.S. Thesis. Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan.
- DEERING, M. D. & J. M. SCRIBER. 1998. Heterospecific mating behavior of *Papilio palamedes* in Florida (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). *Holarc. Lepid.* 5:49–51.
- . 2002. Field bioassays show heterospecific mating preference asymmetry between hybridizing North American *Papilio* butterfly species (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). *J. Ethology* 20:25–33.
- DICKINSON, J. L. 1986. Prolonged mating in the milkweed leaf beetle *Labidomera clivicollis clivicollis* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae): a test of the "sperm loading" hypothesis. *Behavioral Ecol. Sociobiol.* 18: 331–338.
- DONOVAN, J. 2001. Are hybrids more fit than their parental types? A test using two swallowtail butterfly species, *Papilio glaucus* and *P. canadensis* (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae) MS Thesis. Michigan State University. East Lansing, MI. 79pp.
- DONOVAN, J. & J. M. SCRIBER. 2003. Detection and verification of a natural primary hybridization event between two Tiger Swallowtail Butterfly species in northern Michigan. *J. Lepid. Soc.* 57:25–35.
- DRUMMOND, B. A. 1984. Multiple mating and sperm competition in the Lepidoptera, pp. 290–370. *In* Smith, R. L. (ed.), *Sperm competition and the evolution of animal mating systems*. Academic Press, New York.
- EADY, P. E., N. WILSON & M. JACKSON. 2000. Copulating with multiple males enhances female fecundity but not egg-to-adult survival in the bruchid beetle, *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *Evolution* 54:2161–2165.
- EBERHARD, W. G. 1996. *Female control: sexual selection by cryptic female choice*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New York, pp 501.
- . 2000. Criteria for demonstrating post-copulatory female choice. *Evolution* 54:1047–1050.
- ENDLER, J. 1998. The place of hybridization in evolution. *Evolution* 52: 640–644.
- EVANS, J. P., L. ZANE, S. FRANCESCATO, & A. PILASTRO. 2003. Directional postcopulatory sexual selection revealed by artificial insemination. *Nature* 421:360–363.
- FLINT, H. M. & E. L. KRESSIN. 1968. Gamma irradiation of the tobacco budworm: sterilization, competitiveness, and observations on reproductive biology. *J. Econ. Ent.* 61:478–483.
- GACK, C. & K. PESCHEK. 1994. Spermathecal morphology, sperm transfer and a novel mechanism of sperm displacement in the rove beetle, *Aleochara curtula* (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae). *Zoomorphology*. 114:227–237.
- GAGE, A. R. & C. J. BARNARD. 1996. Male crickets increase sperm number in relation to competition and female size. *Behav. Biol. Sociobiol.* 38:349–353.
- GAGE, M. J. G. 1998. Influences of sex, size, and symmetry on ejaculate expenditure in a moth. *Behav. Ecol.* 9:592–597.
- GAGE, M. J. G. & R. R. BAKER. 1991. Ejaculate size varies with sociosexual situation in an insect. *Ecol. Ent.* 16:331–337.
- GILCRIST, A. S. & L. PARTRIDGE. 2000. Why is it different to model sperm displacement in *Drosophila melanogaster*: the relation between sperm transfer and copulation duration. *Evolution*. 54:534–542.
- GREGORY, P. G. & D. J. HOWARD. 1994. A post-insemination barrier to fertilization isolates two closely related ground crickets. *Evolution*. 48:705–710.
- GUPPY, C. S. & J. H. SHEPARD. 2001. *Butterflies of British Columbia*. UBC Press, Vancouver, BC.
- HAGEN, R. H. & J. M. SCRIBER. 1991. Systematics of the *Papilio glaucus* and *P. troilus* groups (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae): inferences from allozymes. *Ann. Ent. Soc. Amer.* 94:380–395.
- . 1995. Sex chromosomes and speciation in the *Papilio glaucus* group, pp.211–228. *In* Scriber, J.M., Y. Tsubaki, R. C. Lederhouse (eds.), *Swallowtail butterflies: their ecology and evolutionary biology*. Scientific Publishers, Gainesville, Florida.

- HARSHMAN, L. G. & T. PROUT. 1994. Sperm displacement without sperm transfer in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Evolution* 48:758–766.
- HELLRIEGEL, B. & G. BERNASCONI. 2000. Female-mediated differential sperm storage in a fly with complex spermathecae, *Scatophaga stercoraria*. *Animal Behav.* 58:311–317.
- HEREAU, H. & J. M. SCRIBER. 2003. Interspecific copulation of a dark morph *Papilio glaucus* female and a male *P. polyxenes* (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). *J. Lepid. Soc.* 57:71–74.
- HEWITT, G. M., P. MASON, & R. A. NICHOLS. 1989. Sperm precedence and homogamy across a hybrid zone in the alpine grasshopper *Podisma pedestris*. *Heredity* 62:343–353.
- HOWARD, D. J. 1999. Conspecific sperm and pollen precedence and speciation. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 30:109–132.
- HOWARD, D. J., P. G. GREGORY, J. CHU & M. L. CAIN. 1998. Conspecific sperm precedence is an effective barrier to hybridization between closely related species. *Evolution* 52:511–516.
- HOWARD, D. J., J. L. MARSHALL, D. D. HAMPTON, S. C. BRITCH, M. L. DRANEY, J. CHU & R. G. CANTRELL. 2002. The genetics of reproductive isolation: a retrospective and prospective look with comments on ground crickets. *Amer. Natur.* 159: S8–S21.
- JENNIONS, M. D. & M. PETRIE. 2000. Why do females mate multiply? A review of the genetic benefits. *Biol. Rev. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 75:21–64.
- KARLSSON, B. 1998. Nuptial gifts, resource allocation and reproductive output in a polyandrous butterfly, *Pieris napi*. *Ecology* 79: 2931–2940.
- KATAKURA, H. 1986a. Evidence for the incapacitation of heterospecific sperm in the female genital tract in a pair of closely related ladybirds (Insecta, Coleoptera, Coccinellidae). *Zool. Sci.* 3:115–121.
- . 1986b. A further study of the effect of interspecific mating on the fitness of a pair of sympatric phytophagous ladybirds. *Kontyû, Tokyo* 54:235–242.
- KATAKURA, H. & Y. SOBU. 1986. Cause of low hatchability by the interspecific mating in a pair of sympatric ladybirds (Insecta, Coleoptera, Coccinellidae): incapacitation of sperm and death of hybrid embryos. *Zool. Sci.* 13:315–322.
- KARLSSON, B. 1995. Resource allocation and mating systems in butterflies. *Evolution* 49:955–961.
- KEMPENAEERS, B., K. FOERSTER, S. QUESTIAN, B. C. ROBERTSON & E. L. M. VERMEIRSEN. 2000. Distinguishing between female choice versus male sperm competition: a comment on Birkhead. *Evolution* 54:1050–1052.
- LAMUNYON, C. W. 1994. Paternity in naturally occurring *Utetheisa ornatrix* (Lepidoptera: Arctiidae) as estimated using enzyme polymorphism. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 34: 403–408.
- LAMUNYON, C. W. 1999. Sperm storage by females of the polyandrous noctuid moth, *Heliothis virescens*. *Animal. Behav.* 59:395–402.
- LAMUNYON, C. W. & S. WARD. 1999. Evolution of sperm size in nematodes: sperm competition favors larger sperm. *Proc. Royal Soc. London, Series B.* 266:263–267.
- LAYBERRY, R. A., P. W. HALL & J. D. LAFONTANE. 1998. *The Butterflies of Canada*. University of Toronto Press.
- LEDERHOUSE, R. C. 1995. Comparative mating behavior and sexual selection in North American Swallowtail butterflies, pp.117–131. *In* Scriber, J. M., Y. Tsubaki, & R. C. Lederhouse (eds.), *Swallowtail butterflies: their ecology and evolutionary biology*. Scientific Publishers, Gainesville, Florida.
- LEDERHOUSE, R. C. & J. M. SCRIBER. 1987. Ecological significance of a post-mating decline in egg viability in the tiger swallowtail. *J. Lepid. Soc.* 41: 83–93.
- LEDERHOUSE R. C., M. AYRES & J. M. SCRIBER. 1989. Evaluation of spermatophore counts in studying mating systems of Lepidoptera. *J. Lepid. Soc.* 43:93–101.
- . 1990. Adult nutrition affects male virility in *Papilio glaucus*. *Funct. Ecol.* 4:743–751.
- LEFEVRE, G. & U. B. JONSSON. 1962. Sperm transfer, storage, displacement, and utilization in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetica* 66:195–202.
- LUEBKE, H. J., J. M. SCRIBER & B. S. YANDELL. 1988. Use of multivariate discriminant analysis of male wing morphometrics to delineate the Wisconsin hybrid zone for *Papilio glaucus glaucus* and *P. g. canadensis*. *Amer. Mid. Nat.* 119:366–379.
- MANN, T. 1984. *Spermatophores*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- MARSHALL, J. L., M. L. ARNOLD & D. J. HOWARD. 2002. Reinforcement: the road not taken. *Trends Ecol. & Evol.* 17:558–563.
- MARTIN, O. Y. & D. J. HOSKEN. 2003. The evolution of reproductive isolation through sexual conflict. *Nature* 423:979–982.
- NEUBAUM, D. M. & M. F. WOLFNER. 1999. Wise, winsome, or weird? Mechanisms of sperm storage in female animals. *Curr. Topics. Develop. Biol.* 41:67–97.
- NEWCOMER, S. D., J. A. ZEH & D. W. ZEH. 1999. Genetic benefits enhance the reproductive success of polyandrous females. *Proc. National Acad. Sci. USA* 96:10236–10241.
- ONO, T.M., T. SIVA-JOTHY & A. KATO. 1989. Removal and subsequent ingestion of rival's semen during copulation in a tree cricket. *Physiol. Entomol.* 14: 195–202.
- ORDING, G. 2001. Island Populations and trait comparisons of tiger swallowtail butterflies, *Papilio canadensis* in the Great Lakes Region. M.S. Thesis. Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan.
- ORR, B. 1995. The evolution of the sphragis in the Papilionidae and other butterflies, pp. 155–164. *In* Swallowtail butterflies: the ecology and evolutionary biology. Scriber, J. M., Y. Tsubaki, R. C. Lederhouse (eds.), Scientific Publishers, Gainesville, Florida.
- ORR, B. & M. TURELLI. 2001. The evolution of postzygotic isolation: accumulating Dobzhansky-Muller incompatibilities. *Evolution* 55:1085–1094.
- OTRONEN, M. 1997. Sperm numbers, their storage and usage in the fly, *Drosophila anilis*. *Proc. Royal Soc. London Series B.* 264:777–782.
- PAI, A. & G. YAN. 2002. Polyandry produces sexy sons at the cost of daughters in red flour beetles. *Proc. Royal Soc. London. Series B, Biol. Sci.* 269:361–368.
- PAIR, S. D., M. L. LASTER & D. F. MARTIN. 1977. Hybrid sterility of the tobacco budworm: effects of alternate sterile and normal matings on fecundity and fertility. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Amer.* 60: 952–954.
- PARKER, G. A. & L. W. SIMMONS. 2000. Optimal copula duration in yellow dung flies: ejaculatory duct dimensions and size-dependent sperm displacement. *Evolution* 54:924–935.
- PARMESAN, C., N. RYRHOLM, C. STEFANESCU, J. K. HILL, C. D. THOMAS, H. DESCIMON, B. HUNTLEY, L. KAILA, J. KULLBERG, T. TAMMARU, W. J. TENNETT, J. A. THOMAS & M. WARREN. 1999. Poleward shifts in geographic ranges of butterfly species associated with regional warming. *Nature* 382:765–766.
- PAVULAAN, H. & D. M. WRIGHT. 2002. *Pterourus appalachiensis* (Papilionidae: Papilioninae), a new swallowtail butterfly from the Appalachian region of the United States. *Taxonomic Report* 3: 1–20.
- PITNICK, T. & R. SNOOK. 2003. Sexual conflict and sexual selection: chasing away paradigm shifts. *Evolution* 57:1223–1236.
- PITNICK, S. & W. D. BROWN. 2000. Criteria for demonstrating female sperm choice. *Evolution* 54: 1052–1056.
- PITNICK, S., T. MARKOW, & G. S. SPICER. 1999. Evolution of multiple kinds of female sperm-storage organs in *Drosophila*. *Evolution* 53:1804–1822.
- PIVNICK, K.A. & J. N. MCNEIL. 1987. Puddling in butterflies: Sodium affects reproductive success in *Thymelicus lineola*. *Physiol. Entomol.* 12: 461–472.
- PRESGRAVES, D. C. 2002. Patterns of postzygotic isolation in Lepidoptera. *Evolution* 56: 1168–1183.
- PRICE, C. S. 1997. Conspecific sperm precedence in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 388:663–666.
- PRICE, C. S., K. A. DYER & J. A. COYNE. 1999. Sperm competition between *Drosophila* males involves both displacement and incapacitation. *Nature* 400:449–452.
- PRICE, C. S., C. H. KIM, C. J. GRONLUND & J. A. COYNE. 2001. Cryptic reproductive isolation in the *Drosophila simulans* species complex. *Evolution* 55:81–922.

- PRICE, C. S., C. H. KIM, J. POSLUZNY & J. A. COYNE. 2000. Mechanisms of conspecific sperm precedence in *Drosophila*. *Evolution* 54:2028–2037.
- QVARNSTRÖM, A. 2001. Context-dependent genetic benefits from mate choice. *Trends Ecol. & Evol.* 16:5–7.
- RAFFA, K.F., N.Y. HAVILL & E.V. NORDHEIM. 2002. How many choices can your test animal compare effectively? Evaluating a critical assumption of behavioral preference tests. *Oecologia* 133: 422–429.
- RICE, W. R. 1996. Sexually antagonistic male adaptation triggered by experimental arrest of female evolution. *Nature* 381:232–234.
- RIESEBERG, L. 2001. Chromosomal rearrangements and speciation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 16: 351–358.
- ROBINSON, T., N. A. JOHNSON & M. J. WADE. 1994. Postcopulatory, prezygotic isolation: intraspecific and interspecific sperm precedence in *Tribolium* spp., flour beetles. *Heredity* 73:155–159.
- SADEK, M. M. 2001. Polyandry in field-collected *Spodoptera littoralis* moths and laboratory assessment of the effects of male mating history. *Ent. Exper. Appl.* 98:165–172.
- SAKALUK, S. K. & A. K. EGGERT. 1996. Female control of sperm transfer and intraspecific variation in sperm precedence: antecedents to the evolution of a courtship food gift. *Evolution* 50:694–703.
- SAKALUK, S. K., J. M. SCHAUS, A.-K. EGGERT, W. A. SNEDDEN & P. L. BRADY. 2002. Polyandry and fitness of offspring reared under varying nutritional stress in decorated crickets. *Evolution* 56:1999–2007.
- SCHWARZ, D., B.J. MATTA, N.L. SHAKIR-BOTTERI, AND B.A. MCPHERON. 2005. Host shift to an invasive plant triggers rapid animal hybrid speciation. *Nature* 436: 546–549.
- SCRIBER, J. M. 1982. Foodplants and speciation in the *Papilio glaucus* group, pp. 307–314. In Visser, J. H. & A. K. Minks (eds.), *Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Insect Plant Relationships*. PUDOC, Wageningen, Netherlands.
- . 1990. Interaction of introgression from *Papilio glaucus canadensis* and diapause in producing 'spring form' Eastern tiger swallowtail butterflies, *P. glaucus*. *Great Lakes Entomol.* 23:127–138.
- . 1993. Absence of behavioral induction in oviposition preference of *Papilio glaucus* (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). *Great Lakes Entomol.* 26: 81–95.
- . 1994. Climatic legacies and sex chromosomes: latitudinal patterns of voltinism, diapause size and host-plant selection in 2 species of swallowtail butterflies at their hybrid zone. pp. 133–171. In H.V. Danks (ed.) *Insect life-cycle polymorphism: theory, evolution and ecological consequences for seasonality and diapause control*. Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, Netherlands.
- . 1996. Tiger tales: natural history of native North American swallowtails. *Amer. Ent.* 42:19–32.
- . 2002a. The evolution of insect-plant relationships; Chemical constraints, coadaptation and concordance of insect/plant traits. *Entomologia Expt. & Appl.* 104:217–235.
- . 2002b. Latitudinal and local geographic mosaics in host plant preferences as shaped by thermal units and voltinism in *Papilio* spp. (Lepidoptera). *European J. Entomol.* 99: 225–239.
- SCRIBER, J. M. & S. GAGE. 1995. Pollution and global climate change: plant ecotones, butterfly hybrid zones, and biodiversity, pp 319–344. In Scriber, J.M., Y. Tsubaki, R. C. Lederhouse, (eds.), *Swallowtail butterflies: their ecology and evolutionary biology*. Scientific Publishers, Inc., Gainesville, Florida.
- SCRIBER, J. M., M. D. DEERING, L. FRANCKE, W. WEHLING & R. C. LEDERHOUSE. 1998a. Notes on the butterfly population dynamics of 3 *Papilio* species in south central Florida (Highlands County). *Holarctic Lepid.* 5:53–62.
- SCRIBER, J. M., M. D. DEERING & A. STUMP. 1998b. Evidence of long range transport of a swallowtail butterfly (*Papilio glaucus* L.) on a storm front into northern Michigan. *Great Lakes Entomol.* 31:151–160.
- SCRIBER, J. M., R. DOWELL, R. C. LEDERHOUSE & R. H. HAGEN. 1990. Female color and sex ratio in hybrids between *Papilio glaucus glaucus* and *P. eurymedon*, *P. rutulus* and *P. multicaudatus* (Papilionidae). *J. Lepid. Soc.* 44:229–244.
- SCRIBER, J. M., R. C. LEDERHOUSE, & R. DOWELL. 1995. Hybridization studies with North American swallowtails, pp. 269–282. In Scriber, J. M., Y. Tsubaki, R. C. Lederhouse (eds.), *Swallowtail butterflies: their ecology and evolutionary biology*. Scientific Publishers Inc., Gainesville, Florida.
- SCRIBER, J. M. AND ORDING, G. 2005. Ecological speciation without host plant specialization: origins of a new cryptic *Papilio* species (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae)(SIP-12). *Ent. Exper. Appl.* 115:247–263.
- SCRIBER, J. M., A. STUMP, & M. DEERING. 2003. Hybrid zone ecology and tiger swallowtail trait clines in North America, pp 367–391. In Boggs, C., W. Watt, P. Ehrlich (eds.), *Ecology and evolution taking flight: butterflies as model study systems*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois.
- SCRIBER, J. M., H. ROMACK & M. D. DEERING. 2006. Not all bilateral gynandromorphs in the *Papilio glaucus* group of tiger swallowtails are interspecific hybrids: new specimens from field populations. *Holarctic Lepid. in press*.
- SHAPIRO, L. H. 2000. Reproductive costs to heterospecific mating between two hybridizing katydids (Orthoptera: Tettigonidae). *Ann. Ent. Soc. Amer.* 93:440–446.
- SILBERGLIED, R. E., J. G. SHEPHERD & J. L. DICKINSON. 1984. Eunuchs: the role of apyrene sperm in Lepidoptera. *Amer. Nat.* 123:255–265.
- SIMMONS, L. W. 2001. *Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the insects*. Princeton University Press, New Jersey. 448 pp.
- SIMMONS, L. W. & R. ACHMANN. 2000. Microsatellite analysis of sperm-use patterns in the bush cricket, *Requena verticalis*. *Evolution*. 54:942–952.
- SIMMONS, L. W. & M. SIVA-JOTHY. 1998. Sperm competition in insects: mechanisms and the potential for selection, pp.341–434. In Birkhead, T. R., A. P. Møller (eds.), *Sperm competition and sexual selection*. Academic Press, London.
- SIMMONS, L. W., G. A. PARKER & P. STOCKLEY. 1999. Sperm displacement in the yellow dung fly, *Scatophaga stercoraria*: an investigation of male and female processes. *Amer. Nat.* 153:302–314.
- SIVA-JOTHY, M. T. & R. E. HOOPER. 1996. Differential use of stored sperm during oviposition in the damselfly, *Calopteryx splendens xanthostoma* (Charpentier). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 39:389–393.
- SMEDLEY, S.R. & T. EISNER. 1996. Sodium: a male moth's gift to its offspring. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA)* 93: 809–813.
- SMITH, R. L. 1984. *Sperm competition and the evolution of animal mating systems*. Academic Press, San Diego, California, 687pp.
- SPEERLING, F. A. H. 1990. Natural hybrids of *Papilio* (Insecta: Lepidoptera): poor taxonomy or interesting evolutionary problem? *Can. J. Zool.* 68:1790–1799.
- . 1993. Mitochondrial DNA variation and Haldane's rule in the *Papilio glaucus* and *P. troilus* species groups. *Heredity* 71: 227–233.
- . 2003. Butterfly molecular systematics: from species definitions to higher level phylogenies. Pp 431–458. In: Boggs, CL, Watt WB, & Ehrlich PR (eds) *Butterflies: Ecology and Evolution taking flight*. Univ. Chicago Press.
- STJERNHOLM, F. & B. KARLSSON. 2000. Nuptial gifts and the use of body reserves for reproduction in the green-veined white butterfly, *Pieris napi*. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London. B* 267: 807–811.
- STOCKLEY, P. 1997. Sexual conflict resulting from adaptations to sperm competition. *Trends Ecol. & Evol.* 12:154–159.
- STUMP, A. D. 2000. Lack of cryptic reproductive isolation between *Papilio canadensis* and *Papilio glaucus* and population genetics near their hybrid zone. M.S. Thesis. Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan.
- SUGAWARA, T. 1979. Stretch reception in the bursa copulatrix of the butterfly, *Pieris rapae crucivora*, and its role in behavior. *J. Comp. Physiol. A* 130:191–199.
- THORNHILL, R. & J. ALCOCK. 1983. *The evolution of insect mating systems*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, pp 547.

- TREGENZA, T. 2003. The battle between the sexes. *Nature*. 423:929–930.
- TREGENZA, T. & N. WEDELL. 2002. Polyandrous females avoid costs of inbreeding. *Nature*. 415:71–73.
- TREGENZA, T. N. WEDELL, D. J. HOSKEN & P. I. WARD. 2003. Maternal effects on offspring depend on female mating pattern and offspring environment in yellow dung flies. *Evolution* 57: 297–304.
- TSCHUDI-REIN, K. & G. BENZ. 1990. Mechanisms of sperm transfer in female *Pieris brassicae* (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Amer.* 83:1158–1164.
- TURELLI, M., N. H. BARTON & J. A. COYNE. 2001. Theory and Speciation. *Trends Ecol. & Evol.* 16: 330–343.
- VAHED, K. 1998. The function of nuptial feeding in insects: review of empirical studies. *Biological. Rev. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 73: 43–78.
- VIA, S. 2002. The ecological genetics of speciation. *American Naturalist* 109: S1–S7.
- WAAGE, J. K. 1979. Dual function of the damselfly penis: sperm removal and transfer. *Science* 203:916–918.
- WADE, J. M., H. PATTERSON, N. CHANG & N. A. JOHNSON. 1993. Post-copulatory, prezygotic isolation in flour beetles. *Heredity* 71:163–167.
- WARD, P. I. 1993. Females influence sperm storage and use in the yellow dung fly *Scatophaga stercoraria* (L.). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 32:313–319.
- . 1998. A possible explanation for cryptic female choice in the yellow dung fly, *Scatophaga stercoraria* (L.). *Ethology* 104, 97–110.
- . 2000. Cryptic female choice in the yellow dung fly, *Scatophaga stercoraria* (L.). *Evolution* 54:1680–1686.
- WATANABE, M. 1988. Multiple matings increase the fecundity of the yellow swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio xuthus* L., in summer generations. *J. Insect Behav.* 1:17–29.
- WATANABE, M. & M. HIROTA. 1999. Effects of sucrose intake on spermatophore mass produced by male swallowtail butterfly *Papilio xuthus* L. *Zool. Sci.* 3:509–516.
- WATANABE, M. & K. SATO. 1993. A spermatophore structured in the bursa copulatrix of the small white *Pieris rapae* (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) during copulation and its sugar content. *J. Res. Lepid.* 32:26–36.
- WATANABE, M., M. BON'NO & A. HACHISUKA. 2000. Eupyrene sperm migrates to spermatheca after apyrene sperm in the swallowtail butterfly, *Papilio xuthus* L. (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). *J. Ethology* 18:91–99.
- WEDELL, N. & P. COOK. 1998. Determinants of paternity in a butterfly. *Proc. Royal. Soc. London, Series B.* 265:625–630.
- WEDELL, N. M., J. G. GAGE & G. A. PARKER. 2002. Sperm competition, male prudence and sperm-limited females. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 17: 313–320.
- WIKLUND, C. 2003. Sexual selection and the evolution of butterfly mating systems, pp 67–90. *In* Boggs, C., W. Watt, & P. Ehrlich (eds.), *Ecology and evolution taking flight: butterflies as model study systems*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, Illinois.
- WIKLUND, C., A. KAITALA, V. LINDFORS & J. ALBENIUS. 1993. Polyandry and its effect on female reproduction in the green-veined white butterfly (*Pieris napi* L.). *Behav. Ecol. & Sociobiol.* 33: 25–33.
- WILSON, N., S. C. TUBMAN, P. E. EADY & G. W. ROBERSTON. 1997. Female genotype affects male success in sperm competition. *Proc. Royal. Soc. London, Series B.* 264:1491–1495.
- WOODS, H. A., C. E. SORESENSEN, A. STEPHENSON & J. F. HARRISON. 2001. A simple allozyme method for distinguishing all life stages of *Manduca sexta* and *M. quinquemaculata*. *Entomol. Expt. Appl.* 98:109–113.
- YASUI, Y. 1998. The 'genetic benefits' of female multiple mating reconsidered. *Trends Ecol. & Evol.* 13: 246–250.
- ZEH, J. H. & D. W. ZEH. 1994. Last-male sperm precedence breaks down when females mate with three males. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. B* 257: 287–292.
- ZEH, J. A. & ZEH, D. W. 2001. Reproductive mode and genetic benefits of polyandry. *Animal Behav.* 61:1051–1063.

Received for publication 21 January 2004; revised and accepted 11 February 2006