

as possible in an adequate description? Many species have been recognized first by differences in larval or adult habits, and can most readily be recognized by such differences; therefore, isn't their description necessary for an adequate description? Perhaps there are no more Edwardses or Scudders among butterfly collectors, but certainly biological work has lagged. The museum worker will as a rule of necessity deal with the lifeless remains — whatever the field worker has preserved — but for the majority of the members of the Lepidopterists' Society doesn't the living animate insect offer unique opportunities for contributions toward our knowledge of the Lepidoptera?

5 Hospital Street, Augusta, Maine

#### FUNDS NEEDED FOR ILLUSTRATIONS

*The Lepidopterists' News* is now printed by a process and on a paper which permits perfect reproduction of photographs and other half-tone figures (see Prof. Wohlfahrt's *Iphiclides podalirius*, Abb. 2, on page 16). While not unduly costly, such figures are expensive enough so that half-tones must be kept at a minimum unless special arrangements can be made to provide funds. The warm response we have always received, whenever a definite need for funds has been announced, leads me to believe that there are probably one or more members of the Society who would be interested in establishing a specific ILLUSTRATIONS FUND. Correspondence on this possibility will be welcomed.

C. L. REMINGTON

#### ANNUAL SEASON SUMMARY

The Associate Editor in charge of the annual Season Summary, Dr. E. G. MUNROE, is re-examining the policy for the Summary, in cooperation with the eight Area Summarizers. All members of the Society concerned with the Summary are invited to send their views to him. His address is: Dept. of Entomology, Science Service, Ottawa, Canada.