New Haven July 26, 1883

My dear Professor Marsh, New York: I

Write to Armstrong very

important note of fact which will no doubt

so far modify the judgement of the council

viz.: The original communication of Dr. Cottle

read at Phila. does not as a whole the

whole matter turned was transmitted by the

man of last year upon other documents to the

committee through President Cooper in his letter (Ref. E) of date March 12th, 1883. I

trust you would obtain from Mr. Rogers the

letter which as you will see is of greatest im-

portance as justifying the form in placing

the paper in question at the opening of their

Report. Prof. Rogers says I am mailing you a packet

of papers which he has last evening from

Commissioner Dixon to which he refers the

date 4th of June. He says that

Thus fairly and officially before us, by request of

the commissioners, that we could not so believe

them as otherwise. He has probably forgotten

this and certainly the council did not know it. So

it was, but as he modified their judgment,

Don't fail to secure that letter of yours and all

his letters! They belong in the files of the council:

I trust you will of course examine them without

hesitation. I trust nothing of this to your other

friends until you speak with me on the subject.

Confident the fact was as I heard it, do
and the same in true regarding other
matter which are not our concern
and in its own right. My mind rests
especially on the testimony of the Super
Boiler, which I know is particularly
offensive to the fire and which the re
should write must gladly accept if he does, be
fruitfully here it is a duplicate!

Now on my table, when I come back
and your room, most readily third prints
letter from Newcomb to which I have
already replied and copy of which only
after original you can see if you wish, on
your return.

Your very critically,

[Signature]

Your command means adown do I
and this to Care of Dr. March

Prof. C. March

Newport
My dear sir,

Having received your note, A minute & confidential letter from Dr. Webb informing me of the action of the Board in general and leaving to you for details.

You will see by the second letter from Dr. Lenz as to the situation of the Board as I have no means of knowing that he has brought the report to the Board. It has never been formally transmitted to him by me. Perhaps the report is substantially worse than I knew it to be.

Yours faithfully,

B. Silliman
New Haven born July 26 1882

Prof. O. C. Marsh M. D. Acting President of the National Academy of Sciences

Very dear Prof. Marsh,

Receiv'd I transmit at your request the original letter of instructions from the late President W. H. Rogers addressed to me as Chair of the Sugars Committee of the Academy, to deliver the Report of that stone to Copeland of the U.S. Sugar Board, due to the Trade Department.

I do not as to acknowledge the receipt.

A copy of this letter of instructions was transmitted officially to the Board of Agriculture.

Very truly yours

B. H. H. """"
Chair of Sugars Committee
N.A.S.
94 Hillhouse Ave.
Aug 5/82

My dear Prof. Marsh,

Brown & I have

had a dispute over the

matter referred to the

Committee and will be ready

to meet you here as soon

as you return. You being

now ex officio a member of

the same we shall be a

witness in Johnson's ab

sence. If possible we shou

d see Chandler about

this may be done.
You say thought you we be back this week so I moved this here.

Hope you received the letter from Mr. Rogers as they are of great in importance to a proper understanding of the case on the part of the Court.

Truly yours,

B. Sullivan

Prof. D. L. Marsh
Dear Prof. Marsh,

Permit me again to urge on you the importance of obtaining from Mr. Roger all the letters which bear on this Washington business. We are dealing with a most unscrupulous enemy and false names to his pocket stick at anything to carry his point. He stands now without a tit bit of false statements or his own showing and we must have in our hands the evidence of his activity.

Yours,
B.S.
MEMORANDUM

FROM

Peckham

TO

Peck

Sept 15 1882

New Haven

I hope you will fetch the letter you got from Mr. Cogges, especially concerning which will be of moment in the present state of affairs. As also Paul P.'s letter which thanks you.
Dear Prof. March, I find I took away the enclosed letter from you by mistake. It belongs in the file of Prof. R's letter, it is from one of date 25th of January 1882. You will see it refers to a letter from Collier, in which Mr. Living is spoken of as the bearer of three documents ("A", "B", "C") that you may be exactly what I refer to. I have herewith enclosed from my files Collier letter of date Jan 23.

This reveals the fact that the date I gave you in my letter of this morning as "Dec 14" is an error (which from Dr. Rogers) it should read Jan. 14.

As you will see by a comparison of Mr. E. G. Lee W. B. R. is letter of Jan 35 (which I enclose). This is very important for you will see now.
that there was a gap of only 3 weeks between sending the documents "A", "B", and "C" to Pat Pet for his daughter and his letter of 30th January accompanying "D". So between the date of Pat Pet's actual receipt of "A", "B", "C", and the transmission of "D" there was an interval of only one week. Read in this connection Collins' second letter of 23rd January.

Be so good therefore as to change the date of Dec 14th Dec 24th in my letter read to you. My reason to read Jan 4th & Jan 24th. Their correction makes it entirely certain that "A", "B", "C" were intended by S. as a part of the sending to the charity of Collins work, C. having as he says, furnished time to the Commissioner for that purpose, and the "week" in which E. tells he 1887 receipt would be ready was exactly to a day fulfilled! Kindly please view comb the note documents, at same time with the other papers. Very truly C.S.
Dear Prof. Marsh,

I have made a careful review of the Record, by correspondence and documents, with reference to the origin, progress and present status of the Sugar Protection Investigation so far as it relates to the U.S. and the Agricultural Department. The facts are as follows:

1st. After the presentation of a petition of Dr. Colliers, Rogers at Phila. in November, a report was appointed, under a resolution, for a committee with instructions to investigate the methods and extent to the Academy (see copy of minutes of said)

2nd. After the exchange of letters between Prof. Rogers and a personal interview with the law of leg: looking to his making request of the Academy to investigate the Sugar question, in view of the results obtained at the leg of leg. The committee to Prof. Rogers to certain printed documents on the subject, with a letter of advice, to which Rogers replied as a letter of date, Dec. 24th, asking the favor of the law to be allowed liberty to consider his communication, in the light of a request to the Academy to take up the subject, (writing his reply)

3rd. Just before the law in the letter that read forwards the letter of Prof. Rogers and requests the action of the Academy by the appointment of a board. In reply to the letter of the law, Dr. Rogers tells the same to the Academy, which he had the package with Dr. Collier letter, report, and says, "This Report"

With the document on the same subject, which you have already kindly but, we have been placed in charge of Dr. William, Chief of the cane.

This can was then first officially notified of their appointment never before this time until a meeting or any conference as a board (see our second letter 3.5.28.2)

The law in the letter of Rogers, under date of March 25th. In

I enclose that the cane of the Academy propose to investigate the economic value of Sugar to the Sugar manufactures - the point especially interesting to the Department.

They thought it well to send to you, therefore, the accompanying papers, bearing on the industry and its present status to the Country. Will you be kind enough to forward them to the Committee, x Lips.

The paper transmitted, March 26th, by Prof. Rogers (see v.) was the letter from Russell on Lamb's cane. 3rd Statement relating to the production of Sugar and by Mathews, Doctor's and 3rd. Colliers statement originally submitted in 1879 at Phila.
It appears from the record that the materials referred to
the Otago fang by Prof. Rogers were as follows viz:

FEBRUARY 1879

1. Printed report 1878, 79, 80, distinguishable in

"A", "B", "C", being the same documents dated by the

Commissioner to Prof. Rogers Dec. 24th. The

Dr. Collum's report of the sixty of 1871

with statistical chart, tabular statement &c, &c,

the being the document distinguishable by the terms

As "D"

March 25th, Dr. Collum paper originally submitted at Philadelphia

with statistical table of Dodge &c &c.

These were the materials officially communicated to the

Commissioner by Prof. Rogers and the Court had no opportunity
to use them in framing their report.

Moreover, the Act of the specially directed by his letter

to Prof. Rogers of date March 25th of the purpose of the Court

to include in the "economic value of scenic" in their investigation.

Declaring it as "the point especially vitally to the Deity.

The Court had communicated to the firms a copy of these

Curious reports to manufacturers and others calling for

economic relations, and this action of the Court the most

odious to the Department of Agriculture as well as to its

Commissioner.

16. It is quite certain that no fair and comprehensive review of the work

of Dr. Collum at the Court can be made which excludes

the results obtained in the years 1878, 79, 80. The work is

uniform, the investigation progressive and to confine the amount

of it to the work of the year 1871 would be manifestly

injust to the Department of Agriculture as well as to its

Commissioner.

As the starting point of this investigation by the Court

was the "paper of Dr. Collum original and submitted," these facts

fell a humble relief when the "New Complex" transmitted this

document officially through Prof. Rogers. Otherwise

they would not have been sufficient in impelling this report

from the Scientific Archives of the Academy into their

report of which it now forms an integral part.

If you have an opportunity you will kindly do

Visit this statement of facts of record to me, and, in

before he leave the U.S. I must the highest respect for his fair

assumptions and judgment of his premises and dis-

criticisms.

Yours very truly, Nellie

[Signature]
34 Stillhouse Ave 18th Sept 1882

My dear Ropman,  

I have been over the letter you left with me, and find missing the very important letter of October 21st, of date December 14th, coming the first announcement of some points that I was to put into the hands of the committee to be submitted to us. I hope this point clear to you in its importance I am copying out the letter of the 28th July and mine of the 1st of December showing how that back and forth was sent in by me and L.  

This of the greatest importance we should have this pivotal letter, will you or that I address Mr. Rogers respecting it? Request me to examine for that fact still retain that R’s letter to me giving definite instructions.
how to dispose of the report, as I have a copy it is of no present importance to me.

The terms of hearing letter of March 27th to David R. Dillon, distinctly recognize the importance of the Committee extending their investigation to the "Economic value of England to the Sugar Manufacture - the point especially interesting to this Department.

I have thought it well to send to you, therefore, the accompanying paper bearing on the industry and its present value to the country; will you be kind enough to forward them to the Council? The 3 paper last were 1st minimum of Prof W. A. Caro, 2nd Dugdale statistical statement, 3rd Collings "National Originals admitted" by P. L. Hume.
My dear Munich I hope you were more fortunate than I was in your hunt for Tweedle. Further he's launched with Henry Holt at the Club, unfortunately my train was very late and I had to be down town at one o'clock when I met Holt he told me Newman was gone down to Exmouth for the night when I could not follow him. So say I have the full copy of your personal Report sent by W. in accordance to my telegram on Saturday last I'm going to call a full meeting of the Committee for Wednesday next (27th) at 3 at my house, and hope to have all the members here with you. I shall try and manage a carefully meal of the whole business.
time. Have you any suggestions to offer? I shall be about Friday or Saturday of this week as I am anxious to visit New York. Also, I have no reply of W. B. P.'s letter of January 14th. I have received a draft of the letter of May 3rd. W. B. P.'s letter of May 17th. I have received a note of Mrs. P.'s on S.'s letter of May 17th. Educated from the variance, I infer a Wesleyan and N. B. was carefully lying.

Letter of May 17th. I see if in your opinion any such discussion as is herein adverted to before the Academy would be allowed. If not, let him postpone the same and at an earlier time when the Academy would be nearer to hand and a discussion. It means only.

Ishibishi

Yours truly,

E. Dickinson
A comparison of his false statements to S. N. as put forth in his memorandum with those in our letter of date January 14, 1307-March 15, 1945. W. B. R.
Shows conclusively how utterly untrue a man we have to deal with. He
stands convicted by his own statements.
We have only to appeal from Alexander
Drum to to Alexander Johnson.
New Haven, Sept 22-82

My dear Prof. Marsh,

I learn that during
has been all the replies about
Sugary sugar called out by the
Circular to Recomb. There
are no doubts intended for the use
of the first and I wish to let
you know that I have today
written him Newcomb to have
them in hand immediately.
Please return to the following
letter which I cannot give order
as also W. R. B. to me of
date Jan 25-82.
I shall be in by over
Saturday. Yours truly,

Prof. C. Marsh

P. Lehmann
MEMORANDUM.

FROM  

Jos. Silliman

TO  

Cong. Marsh

Sept 27 1882

Chandler is unable to attend to day & I think we better postpone the Meeting of Home. A few days especially as the work of revising the Report is far from complete & our reading is time fully to attend a week.

Yours respectfully. Jos. S.
Your parcel of valuable documents is in our care and will be carefully insured.
10 pm, Sunday

Dear Mr. Marsh,

I called today to say that the Board of Regents is here at the college. I wanted to know if I should call Johnson and Brewer to be here at the dinner meeting tonight. I do not think there will be any news now with your end working by phone if I am to hear that tonight in time.

Lovingly in haste,

[Signature]
MEMORANDUM

FROM
B. SILLIMAN,
34 Hillhouse Avenue,
New Haven, Conn.,

TO
Chairman of
N.A.S.

Prof. Rogers letter of date May 12, 1882 to B.S. Chas instructing him to communicate the Ordinance Report to Professor Silliman in your possession. As to provisions to transmit it to Professor to complete his file of Ordinances which he proposes to submit to the council at once.

Prof. S. sends the file of palae from Mr. Rogers at the request of Prof. Marsh.

Prof. S. has prepared a synopsis of the Ordinance so far as it illustrates the appointment of the Committee, documents and arguments referred to them, to accompany a communication to the
Home Decy: that he may be prepared to answer any query likely to arise in relation to most points in their matter. This communication if desired Prof S will lay before them March 2.
MEMORANDUM.

FROM
A. Shaw, Esq.
New Haven

TO
Rev. O.C. Marsh, D.D.
New Haven

Okt 30
1882

A formal meeting of the New Haven Sugar Fund for approval & signatures of Report will be held at 34 Hillhouse Ave. Nov. 1 1882 2 1/2 P.M.
MEMORANDUM

FROM

B. SILLMAN,
34 Hillhouse Avenue,
New Haven, Conn.,

To

Prof. O. B. Marsh

Nov 2 1882

New Haven

I learn to day that S. Lovering is in Mississippi.

We probably return to Washington before the Election in Nov.

It will be better not to send our Rehearsal before his return. If he gets it only a week before the time of our meeting it will be I should suppose with A. Wall I hear fortnight to Texas! Truly

Yours truly

B. S.
Memorandum

From: B. Silliman, 34 Hillhouse Avenue, New Haven, Conn.,

To: Prof. Marsh,

Present.

January 1882

In Philadelphia today the Longfellow Readings to be this evening to obtain the signatures of Drs. Chandler and Moore. Otherwise it is practically complete. And can be forwarded on Monday to London. I rewrote the letter of address as you requested it is better, and the little page does not in the dignify quite as strong.

A. L.
Sorghum Sugar Committee W. A. S.

My dear Sir,

I enclose for the Home Rey. and the files of the Academy a letter from Dr. J. Lawrence Smith of Kentucky, a member of this committee, who has been unable to attend its sittings, authorizing the Chairman to affix his signature to the Report which he has read. It is important that this letter be carefully preserved in case the authority for Dr. Smith's signature should be questioned.

Yours truly,

P. Billman

Chairman

[Date: Nov. 11, 1887]

Mr. O.C. Marsh, W. A. S.

Acting President.
Louisa Nov 1, 1882

To the Chairman of the
Sugar Comm. of the
U.S. A. 183

Dear Sir,

I approve most
fully of the movement of
the present report on the
basis of the subject of Sugar.
Sugar and you are autho-
ried to use my name as
one of the Committee as
agreeing fully with the
report.

Your truly,

Laurence Smith
My dear Prof. Marsh,

I have addressed to you at the Buckingham Hotel, New York a parcel containing the Report of this Committee in three parts, expecting to deliver it to you by my own hands tomorrow or Monday, when I hope to see you personally. This is the copy intended for the Commissi...
Grove Hall
8 June 1882

[8 June 1882]

Dear Prof. Marsh,

The model of the Smith medal (the obverse) is here. Came in yesterday and is very fine. Also the three plans for the reverse of which we have to choose one. Will you kindly call here say on Friday about 2 o'clock if that is a convenient hour for you.

If you find another hour more convenient please communicate. Perhaps at the house later, as it is all

A duplicate of the model has gone to Mrs. Smith.

One to me. Saw that I housed although well, so I would call on you at the Museum. Mr. Wheeler letter contains several points for our consideration. If you will see them here, when you view the model.

Yours very truly,

P. Gillinian
My dear Professor Mannie,

Unfortunately my

Amaximania has been absent for

the Synthesis Report would have you

in your hands to day as I

Mornins to have it. It is

Nearly finished, and if my man

is home tomorrow I see no reason

Why you should not have it

on Saturday

Yours very truly

P. Silliman

Prof. E. C. Marsh

Dec. 6, 1882
New Haven, Conn., Dec. 9, 1882

Prof. O. B. Marsh, M.D.

My dear sir: The Surgeon's Report is encased i. e. the 2d copy for the Academy—What shall I do with it?—

Yours truly,

B. Silliman

Chas.
Sir: Here with the Committee appointed by the late President Rogers to examine and Report on the "Sorghum Sugar Question" referred to the Academy by the Honorable Commissioners of Agriculture, have the honor to submit to you, for the use of the Academy, their Report in full.

This Report is in three parts. Part I & II, cover the general subject of the "Sorghum Sugar Industry," it being devoted especially to: (1) Analysis of the chemical & other works of the Chemical Division of the Dept. Dept.

Part III, Appendix, embraces a large amount of detail, commencing with valuable historical contributions from the best authorities and covering a wide range of practical experimental results. A bibliography of Sorghum and a full analytical index to the published Reports of the Dept. Dept.

On this subject are added to Part II. No index has been prepared to the Report of the Coast but a rather full table of contents affords easy reference to its pages.

Exhibit "D," being the Chemical Report for 1881, which was referred specially to this Board, (as also the three previous reports of 1879, 79, 80 being distinguished as "A," "B," "C") is made part of this final Report, of which indeed it is the basis. This document has just been stereotyped and a Copy of it both in type and in proof, is hereby transmitted, as also are the Graphical Charts, prints, etc., etc.

The Analytical Index and Bibliography have also been first
vitalize at the expense of the Dept. of Agriculture. We learn, unofficially, that the Commissioners propose to issue the full report of this same as a 'Special Report.' They being the case the cost of the cost of publishing a full memoir on this whole subject, illustrated by its Geological Charts, is reduced to the charge for paper and press work.

I send herewith the duplicate Copy of the full report of the memoir on the same subject. Also the "Official Correspondence" for the Geological Archives of the Academy.

The Book of Records of the Meetings of the Council and the letter of Due Rogers wherein the disposal of the funds are held in their subject to the Council and until the Council is officially discharged.

Yours Truly,

B. Silliman

Chairman of the

Irish Sugar Fund of

The Natchez Planter
MEMORANDUM

FROM
B. SILLIMAN,
34 Hillhouse Avenue,
New Haven, Conn.

TO
Prof. O. L. March
New Haven

Dec. 11

1882

Dear Sir,

The box containing the foreign papers awaits your orders here. Enclose it if I knew where you wish it to go. It is in bad shape and it annoys me to find it is in such condition. If I am not away I will send it again. In the first place you will find an official letter of transmission with some necessary details of explanation. As I expect to be out of town Tuesday and Wednesday I leave the box when you make up your mind what to do with it by calling at the door. It is too heavy to be easily taken by hand. Please acknowledge receipt.

Yours, B. S.
Thursday, Dec 14, 1882

Ludlow Troop March

I decline toSherman's
Pike for arising exposing the rights
Of the Sherman Democrats. It is
Certainly extremely reasonable for the
time occupied and the kind of
work done. The other expenses
of the Same are about $25.00 if
you will lend me a check for
$100. I will cancel that else. If
you prefer you can have a check to
Sherman's store for $74 31
I will make out a voucher
for expenses also in the same sum.
of 1/25. Which is just as you may think.

Yours truly,

A. Stillman

[Handwritten text begins]

Mr. Harriman Rebut some forward! [Handwritten text is cut off and not fully legible.]

[Handwritten text continues]

for the most part, it is strange that the Russian subject was marked by some of the congressmen.
Mr. O. L. Marsh, Acting Rect., N. A. S.

The accompanying document is the Committee's entry to the "Appendix" of the "Sorghum Sugar Industry," and should be forwarded to the Board of Commissioners that it may find its proper place in the Report now in process of printing.

Yours respectfully,

B. Silliman
Chairman

The document in question forms part of the MSS. received to the Academy and already in preparation.

Coping with Mr. Sweezeon's Report of Operations on Sorghum at Madison during Wisconsin for 1882.
Memosar

FROM
B. SILLIMAN,
34 Hillhouse Avenue,
New Haven, Conn.

TO
Prof. O. C. Marsh
Buckingham Hotel, N.Y.

Decr. 20, 1882

My dear Prof. Finding you were in N.Y. I enclose the paper
for having to your present address that you may send
it on without further delay. It is a fuller statement of
Matter already in the body of the Report and it is the
Concluding entry of the copy of the Long Island Report
which went in to give a week's price (Decr. 12) I hope
you will ask doing when we may see the proofs of the
plote of the Report which more than a month ago we
said publicly would be made a Special Report. Yours faithfully, B. Silliman.
Dee 25

May your Xmas to you!

Did you get my sending to the Buckingham of late? I sent with document of loan? Has your Robert gone in to the Institute?
MEMORANDUM.

FROM

B. SILLIMAN,
34 Hillhouse Avenue,
New Haven, Conn.,

Dec 27, 1882

To

Prof. O. Marsh

My dear Prof. Among the papers sent you with my

Robert was a large envelope containing the Memorandum

section in July with papers of a draft of the reply of the Scrogham

Committee to "A Maryk" &c. If this envelope is still in your

possession we think it wise to withhold it until the scroghams

return as it chiefly concerns the author of the memorandum

(the person named) and it may be important for us to refer to

them in the box and envelope you referred to yourself explaining its contents and indicating
What disposition should be made of certain of the papers which were not intended for the Senate? It has your Report from me.

[Signature]
Dear Prof. Marsh

I have seen nothing of Rensser's Alcohol Report among the papers returned to me from N.Y. I packed all the original papers myself and have had them all again and again. My hands are gone since my return. If Rensser's paper was among them I should certainly have found it in this box with your file of the Rogers letters which remain intact. Yours faithfully,

Prof. O. L. Marsh

B. Silliman

Medical Department of Yale College

New Haven, Conn., Dec. 30, 1882
I will try and find time to see you might the day with reference to one or two matters relating to the Forth Report.