19 March, 1875

Dear Professor,

Let me first congratulate you on returning from your last journey after fighting with your scalp, and next thank you for the last of your kind gifts on “The New Order of Sore Mammal,” &c. from the ‘Am. Journ. of Science of March, 1875,’ just to hand.

Yours
You would add to my obligation by referring me to the publication
(which I have, hitherto, despised for
in vain) in which you may
have given figures of the skull
of your Ichthyornis.

The convictions, exemplified in my
constant practice, of the fair
requirements, by my fellow-labourers,

of figures of the Ipswich, on which I
ask their faith, as representatives
of species, genera, orders, lead
me strongly to urge upon you,
in the interest of our common science,
to follow this example.

In regard to your Cetaceous bird,
would it be too much to beg drawing
the skull taken from the same points of view
as are given in the Paper on the
Exoceratidae (Odontopteryx), of
which I hope I am not mistaken
in thinking I forwarded to you
an early copy.

Believe me,

truly yours,

Richard Owen.
1 May, 1876

My dear Professor,

Many thanks for your instructive Monographs (Brontothædae, Dinosauria) I am especially interested with that on Coryphodon; I see your specimen almost the upper corners.

May I ask you to look at p. 605, p. 306 of my British Fossil Mammals and compare both upper lower corners, if you have them, I for hominids.
That figure,

you will see that getting its subject, without other footing, I could not venture beyond a

"overrise of probability." That it might be of a "Lampoon"

Always truly yours,

P. T. Barnum.

(Through what channel or book, dear seller, could "Memories" reach you?)
20th May, 1876.

Dear Mr. March,

Accept my best thanks for copies of your two instructive and interesting Memoirs on Tilloth—

—ion of Corythodon; especially the form which recalled the Phylacodes by its formidable front teeth. You will be
able, with your rich materials, to solve the doubt expressed on p. 306 of the 'British Mammals,' whether the canine there figured (fig. 105) is of Eryphodon or not, and whether it be lower or upper.

I think you be able to satisfy yourself on these particulars. I should be glad to have the 20th of my work the benefit of your determination.

I don't see the gain of substituting 'periotic' for 'petrosal'; the 'mastoid' when confluent with the 'petrosal' always is a sphenoid from a separate centre: the petrosal is sometimes confluent with the tympanic, it not with the mastoid. The petrosal never surrounds the whole ear-organ, only a part of it.

Yours very truly,

R. D. Owen.
10th July 1877.

Dear Prof. Marsh,

I cannot suppress my natural feeling of pleased obligation to you and your estimable labours in my favorite science if I did not return you special thanks for the last received evidence of your good work.
Much as I valued Prof. Herbert's contribution to the study of Cryptodira, your crowning facts on which a lasting knowledge now rests of that primitive ungulate form, were a welcome addition of the highest palaeontological importance—and of which one cannot give too warm a recognition.

The correction of a previously published figure purporting to represent the brain of Cryptodira is one for which we were hoping.

(Rev. of J. 2-5.)

The restoration of the feet gives us the beginning of a series of which Equus is the end; the digits of which have already dropped off in the "gpsum cerseum (Palaeotherium);" if we follow the fate of it is—
in the miocene shivermore & pholus.

Equines -

But why change 'plesiosal' into 'periotic'? The bone surrounds but a part of the ear-organ, although an essential one. The old authors, tropical as 'petrosum' - pass 'pethrosa'. The 'lunarian Rocker' call for better grounds than have yet been advanced to warrant abandonment.

With every good wish,

RICHARD OWEN.
23rd July, 1877.

My dear Sir,

I am in receipt of your letter of the 9th inst: with the gratifying intelligence of the early forthcoming of the illustrated Works on your brother Bird, on Cryptology & Divocates. And, accordingly with your notice have sent to B. W. Steven, 4 Trafalgar Square, 14 Memoirs, etc.
2. Papal Resolutions
3. Dinosaur's Remains
6. Esteban's Recent Manuscripts
7. Papal Manuscripts

Which I trust will reach you safely, soon.

Believe me,

Very faithfully yours,

[Signature]

I am sorry that Continental claims have exhausted private copies of other early memoirs.
27 February, 1870

Dear Professor Marsh,

I have just received your "proothing" Paper "On the Structure of the Skull &c. in Mammals". I imagine the eagerness with which I looked for a figure, or figures, of your "remarkably perfect cranium of Leptosaurus belisii" (p. 3). When may we expect this? With letters or numbers indicating the "apophyseal" of "postaxial" - the "jugal"
of which the Homalolepis has
not been figured named by
Curie in existing Reptilia,
and the need for imposition of
new names for old ones
merely complicates a matter
which is complex enough.
Only when I get your figures,
with indications of the bones
assigned to, can I judge as
The more considerations suffering from one's or the degree of difference.

Believe me.

Very truly yours,

Rich. Owen
Post Mark
Yale College
New Haven, Conn.
U. States
28 May 1878

Many thanks, my dear Professor, for the notice of Dryolestes prissors just received.

What is the coal. Age, of the Chatham Coal-field in North Carolina?

Did you ever see Remarkable Specimen of Dromatherium sylvestris?

Yours very truly,

Richard Owen

Prof. O. C. Marsh.
Saturday, 6 July 1907

Dear Rev. Marsh,

We arrive at 5 p.m.

I recommend you to take a return ticket to Mortlake and come from the Waterloo Station, Richmond Line, by the 2.30 p.m. train.

You will find a friend of mine coming by the same train who might pilot you to my lodge. If the weather were very bad I think hardly affect you.
If fine, I think you won't enjoy a ramble with us in the Park or Garden.

Very truly yours,

Rt. Hon.
31 October, 1879.

Dear Prof. Marsh,

I much regret that we have not a duplicate skull of either Myrmecobius or Crypto-

chlois.

I have written to correspondents at Australia & the Cape to supply this regrettable deficiency.
I fear, however, that the results of the application may not arrive in time to give me the pleasure of forwarding them to you.

The figure, 83, p. 260, of the Article on 'Muscipulae' in the 'Cyclopedia of Anatomy', Vol. III, 1847, was taken from the specimen in the R.C. College (No. 1082, Ort. Cat. 457, p. 344). It may be that duplicates have been once received there. I had one 'longitudinally & vertically oriented'.

(No. 1083, Ort. Cat. 457).
Your cast of the grand Jaws of Atlantisaurus would make a sensation in any public Museum. I will propose to our Trustees to return you equivalent casts of some of our Cape Nicks.

Save an old hump-stomach Bronchite, I keep in good working trim. Believe me always truly yours,

Richard Owen
24 March, 1880

Dear Sir, March,

I was particularly gratified to receive your acct. of Stegosaurus, being then at work on a Rapid Reptile chiefly remarkable for its dental spines, of which I may soon have the pleasure to send you. Abstract of a paper thereon, along with our P. L. S. I was
aware of the use of Omosaurus; but only as an abandoned synonym for a genus which had received another generic name, adopted by the proposer of Omo.

When amongst such rejections, one is found to apply to a previously unnamed genus, science allowed such application.

Yours always truly,

R. H. Owen
28th August, 1860.

My dear Professor,

I cannot delay a day in acknowledging the receipt of the much wished for Work on your toothed birds. They now appear in a form worthy of their instructive interest to of their Discoverer.
I rejoice in the evidence we mainly owe to you of the reptilian nature of the supposed Ornithichnites of the Sandstones of your State. In my "Report on Brit. Fossil Reptiles" (1841, p. 203) I felt I wrote, that, "Root prints alone, like those termed 'Ornithichnites' observed in the New Red Sandstone of Connecticut, are insufficient to support the inference that possession of the highly developed
organization of a bird of flight by
the creatures that left them."
Soon after, receiving evidence of
the great 3-toed land-bird of
New Zealand, I became more
inclined to Hitchcock's view.
The rapid influx of light upon
such questions rewards and
encourages labour on every
opportunity of applying it.
With sincere thanks, believe me,
Sincerely yours,
Rich. Owen
Sheen Lodge
Richmond Park.

4th April, 1881.

Dear Professor March,

Permit me to introduce my friends Edward Crane, Esq., M.G.I.,
and his accomplished Daughter,
Miss Crane, whom contributing to our common science, you will be acquainted, from which, you may,
Like me, have derived profit as well as pleasure.

Believe me,

always truly yours,

Richard Owen.
4th August 1883.

Dear Professor Marsh,

Accept my best thanks for your "Restoration of Brontosaurus," which is an example of an extinct Saurian and is unique! By a "brochure" sent by this post you may feel the interest with which I read your notice of the communication with the figures in your "Apatosaurus" (p. 82). A
A duplicate impression of a plate from Vol. iv. of my 'British Vertebratae,' (drawing near its end) may show an interesting correspondence in a trunk-vertebra of 'Bothrio- spondylus' with the complex neural arch in your 'Brontosaurus.'

With all good wishes,

Sincerely yours,

Prof. O. C. Marsh, N.G.S.,
Yale University,
New Haven,
Connecticut, United States